

# **Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund**

# 2026 Request for Proposal

# **General Information**

Proposal ID: 2026-164

Proposal Title: Public Toolbox to Forecast Toxic Cyanobacteria Blooms

# **Project Manager Information**

Name: Chan Lan Chun Organization: U of MN - Duluth - NRRI Office Telephone: (218) 788-2613 Email: chun0157@d.umn.edu

# **Project Basic Information**

**Project Summary:** This project will develop a field-deployable toolbox, "Cyanodetector" for detecting harmful algal blooms and forecasting cyanobacterial toxins to protect public health and manage recreational water advisories.

ENRTF Funds Requested: \$550,000

Proposed Project Completion: June 30, 2029

LCCMR Funding Category: Water (B)

# **Project Location**

- What is the best scale for describing where your work will take place? Statewide
- What is the best scale to describe the area impacted by your work? Statewide
- When will the work impact occur?

During the Project and In the Future

# Narrative

#### Describe the opportunity or problem your proposal seeks to address. Include any relevant background information.

Cyanobacteria harmful algal blooms (cHABs) are increasingly prevalent in Minnesota's water bodies, posing significant risks to public health, recreational activities, and drinking water sources. These blooms can produce toxins harmful to humans and animals. The rising frequency and severity of cHABs are exacerbated by climate change, resulting in the occurrence of cHABs in pristine lakes, including Lake Superior and Boundary Water Canoe Area Wilderness lakes, which are vital natural resources of Minnesota. Current monitoring methods, including visual inspections, water sampling, and satellite remote sensing, provide guidance and updates on bloom conditions but are not able to detect and forecast cyanotoxins in a timely manner. Moreover, there is no regular testing for cyanotoxins. To proactively protect public health and manage recreational water advisories, we need methods that can rapidly detect blooms and forecast toxin production, enabling timely beach closures and drinking water advisories. Advancements in analytical and genomics tools are making it increasingly feasible and cost-effective to detect cyanobacteria capable of producing toxins before toxins are actually in the water, offering a promising solution. By embracing this innovative approach, we can better safeguard our water resources and communities.

# What is your proposed solution to the problem or opportunity discussed above? Introduce us to the work you are seeking funding to do. You will be asked to expand on this proposed solution in Activities & Milestones.

To protect the public from cHABs, this proposal aims to develop a field-deployable toolbox for proactively and rapidly detecting blooms and forecasting toxin production. This toolbox will be utilized by water resources management entities, like Soil and Water Conservation Districts, to monitor cHABs and predict oncoming toxic events. Water samples collected by entities statewide will be analyzed for cyanobacteria toxins, toxin-producing genes, and water quality parameters to develop a region-specific database. The database will establish relationships for toxin-producing genes and toxin concentrations, enabling future toxic events to be predicted by measuring gene levels. While Minnesota has established recreational guidelines for cyanotoxin concentrations, the application of molecular data to inform public warnings and advisories will be refined in collaboration with project partners. We will create a portable toolbox, CyanoDetector (provisionally named), which contains DNA detectors and reagents, and develop appropriate data workflows to facilitate its adoption by managers. The feasibility of this toolbox will be evaluated for accuracy, ease-of-use, and rapidity. The CyanoDetector will then be beta-tested by partners through training workshops. This portable, inexpensive, and near real-time (<48 hours) toolbox will be suitable for use by natural resource managers and public agencies, ultimately protecting the public from toxic cHABs.

# What are the specific project outcomes as they relate to the public purpose of protection, conservation, preservation, and enhancement of the state's natural resources?

This project will provide a proactive management opportunity to protect public and environmental health through timely information and guidance on managing and mitigating the impacts of cHABs. This approach will allow us to predict toxic bloom formation before unsafe toxin levels are reached. Development of this toolbox is increasingly urgent as cHABs are expected to become more severe with climate change. Engaging water resources entities with the field-deployable toolbox will help us to strategize ways to incorporate routine cyanotoxin monitoring into existing monitoring programs. Additionally, understanding toxin and algal community relationships will enable more informed recreational advisories and preventative actions.

# **Activities and Milestones**

# Activity 1: Analyze cyanobacteria toxins and toxin-producing genes in water samples to forecast the likelihood of cyanobacteria toxin levels

Activity Budget: \$183,438

#### **Activity Description:**

Water samples collected by local water resources management entities will be analyzed for cyanobacteria toxins, toxinproducing genes, and key water quality parameters. We aim to collect ~300 water samples from May through October across the state. Cyanotoxin concentrations will be quantified using Abraxis Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay plates analyzed on an automated Gold Standard Diagnostics CAAS Cube instrument, the most advanced cyanobacteria toxin monitoring instrument in Minnesota. Simultaneously, we will quantify toxin-producing genes and characterize toxic cyanobacterial communities in the water samples using molecular biological techniques including portable Nanopore sequencing platforms. Genomics data will be evaluated against cyanobacterial toxin data to better understand cHAB dynamics. From the analyses, a region-specific database will be created to establish relationships of toxin-producing genes/cyanobacteria strains and toxin production for predicting likelihood of cyanobacteria toxin levels. The findings of Activity 1 will be foundational for the development of a field-deployable toolbox in Activity 2 as well as creating monitoring strategies and beach advisory guidelines in relation to cHABs.

#### **Activity Milestones:**

Description	Approximate Completion Date
Collect and analyze microcystin samples (~200) in Year 1	October 31, 2027
Collect and analyze microcystin samples (~100) in Year 2	October 31, 2028
Quantify toxin-producing genes and characterize toxic cyanobacterial communities	October 31, 2028
Data analysis and interpretation to develop predictive monitoring of cHABs	December 31, 2028

# Activity 2: Develop a rapid, accurate, and field-deployable toolbox, which can be used by local water resource management entities

#### Activity Budget: \$271,899

#### **Activity Description:**

We will develop a portable toolbox, CyanoDetector, to detect cyanotoxin-producing strains before toxins are actually in the water more rapidly and inexpensively than traditional approaches. The CyanoDetector will be created with affordable and accessible molecular tools, including a Bento Lab for genomic material preparation and MinION, a portable sequencer based on Nanopore sequencing technology. This setup could enable a network of monitoring entities to enhance spatial coverage of lakes and increase sampling frequency, thereby improving the tracking of cHAB occurrences and identifying their toxic events across Minnesota. However, their application to cHABs is still in the very early stages and requires the development of an appropriate data workflow to facilitate their adoption. We will create a framework for CyanoDetector, including sample processing procedures, data deposition, and data processing pipelines (i.e., algorithms), which results in a draft instruction manual for implementation. Initially, CyanoDetector will be tested in water samples with known cyanobacteria communities and cyanotoxin levels (e.g., based on Year 1 samples collected for Activity 1). During the development phase, we will focus on evaluating the feasibility of this toolbox concerning its accuracy, ease-of-use, and rapidity, using water samples collected in Year 2.

#### **Activity Milestones:**

Description	Approximate
	Completion Date

Create a CyanoDetector, a field-deployable toolbox for cyanotoxin-producing strains Jun			
Develop the workflow of CyanoDetector using mock or known communities	January 31, 2028		
Evaluate the CyanoDetector with water samples in Year 2	December 31, 2028		

# Activity 3: Develop instructional materials for the CyanoDetector and train local water resource management entities through workshops

#### Activity Budget: \$94,663

#### **Activity Description:**

We will collaborate extensively with water resource management entities to 1) solicit feedback about feasibility and implementation of the CyanoDetector as a tool for predicting likelihood of cyanobacteria toxin levels and 2) determine best approaches for integrating this public toolbox into monitoring programs as a proactive approach to protect public health and manage recreational water advisories. This will involve creating instruction manuals (e.g., procedures and videos) for the toolbox and the online data portal for uploading sequence data. Water resource management entities and public agencies will be engaged through two workshops: an introductory workshop to share our CyanoDetector's concept and understand how it is aligned with potential users' needs, and a hands-on workshop to present the draft instruction modules and training sessions for implementability and improvements. While recreational guidelines for microcystin are established, standards for molecular data to inform public warnings and recreational water advisories do not exist and need to be developed with project partners. We anticipate that partner meetings (online) will consist of an initial project kickoff meeting, annual meetings thereafter to review data and refine approaches, and a final meeting to develop a recommendation report for a comprehensive monitoring program.

#### **Activity Milestones:**

Description	Approximate Completion Date
Create an instruction manual	June 30, 2028
Host workshops for training CyanoDetector training and soliciting feedback	April 30, 2029
Develop recommendations for long-term monitoring for Minnesota waters to keep people safe from project meetings	June 30, 2029

# **Project Partners and Collaborators**

Name	Organization	Role	Receiving Funds
Christopher Filstrup			Yes
Trisha Robinson	Minnesota Department of Health	Providing input and in-kind support on the project, including cHAB monitoring program and data interpretation as a project partner	No
Grace Grinager	Cook County Public Health Department	Providing input and in-kind support on the project, including beta-testing of the toolbox and recommendation of cHAB monitoring program a project partner.	No
Ilena Hansel, Tara Solem,Soil Water ConservationProviding providing		Providing water samples, participating in beta-testing of the toolbox, and providing input recommendations for cHAB monitoring programs as project partners	No
		Providing input and in-kind support on the project, including cHAB monitoring program and data interpretation as a project partner	No
esse Minnesota Providing input and in-kind support on the project, including cHAB monitoring   Anderson and Pollution program and data interpretation as project partners   Kimberly Laing Control Agency		No	

# Long-Term Implementation and Funding

# Describe how the results will be implemented and how any ongoing effort will be funded. If not already addressed as part of the project, how will findings, results, and products developed be implemented after project completion? If additional work is needed, how will this work be funded?

Project activities, including data collection, analysis, interpretation and workshops, will be completed during this project. Institutional funds will support the development of subsequent products, such as publications and scientific presentations. The toolbox framework and instruction manuals will be made available on open-source platforms for further development. We envision a Phase II implementation project. If new research directions emerge from LCCMR's investment in this project, partners will seek additional funding from other grant opportunities. This project will significantly contribute to developing a long-term strategy for proactively protecting public health from cHAB-associated risks and managing water resources in Minnesota.

# Project Manager and Organization Qualifications

#### Project Manager Name: Chan Lan Chun

#### Job Title: Associate Professor

#### Provide description of the project manager's qualifications to manage the proposed project.

Dr. Chun will be responsible for project management and administration, and has the scientific expertise and project management experience to successfully complete this project. She will be responsible for working with Dr. Christopher Filstrup to ensure that project goals, results and timelines are met. Dr. Chun is an environmental engineer in the area of environmental biotechnology with research experience in the analysis and use of microorganisms in natural and engineered environments. Dr. Chun has studied the ecology and diversity of microorganisms in aquatic environments to understand roles microbes play in water quality and public health using a holistic approach using advanced analytical

and sequencing technologies. In addition, her work focuses on the development of treatment technologies and mitigation strategies to improve and restore ecosystem structure and functions. In relation to this proposed work, Dr. Chun's research team has actively developed a field-deployable tool to identify aquatic invasive species using environmental DNA approaches. The collective research and organizational experiences of the project team members and the resources available to this project from the University of Minnesota should ensure the successful completion of the proposed project goals. Dr. Filstrup specializes in applied limnology, cultural eutrophication, harmful algal blooms, and freshwater resources management, and has nearly two decades of experience studying these issues and developing management strategies for freshwater systems. Additionally, Dr. Filstrup leads NRRI's Lake and Stream Ecosystem Ecology Lab along with the Central Analytical Lab, a state-certified water quality laboratory specializing in low-level detection of water quality parameters in the Laurentian Great Lakes and nutrient-poor lakes and streams in the Upper Midwest.

#### Organization: U of MN - Duluth - NRRI

#### **Organization Description:**

The Natural Resources Research Institute (NRRI) is a part of the University of Minnesota research enterprise and employs over 130 scientists, engineers, and technicians. NRRI's mission is to deliver integrated research solutions that value our resources, environment, and economy for a sustainable and resilient future. NRRI collaborates broadly across the University system, the state, and the region to address the challenges of a natural resource-based economy. NRRI researchers have extensive experience in managing large, interdisciplinary projects. NRRI's role is as an impartial, science-based resource that develops and translates knowledge. Projects include characterizing and defining resource opportunities, minimizing waste and environmental impact, maximizing value from natural resources and maintaining/restoring ecosystem function.

# Budget Summary

Category / Name	Subcategory or Type	Description	Purpose	Gen. Ineli gible	% Bene fits	# FTE	Class ified Staff?	\$ Amount
Personnel								
Dr. Chan Lan Chun Dr. Chun will serve as the project manager and be responsible for leading development of cyanobacterial toxin gene analysis and engaging and training local water resource management entities.				26.79%	0.24		\$45,255	
Dr. Christopher Filstrup		Dr. Filstrup will be responsible for leading the cyanotoxin analysis and data interpretation and assisting with training local water resource management entities.			26.79%	0.3		\$39,309
Eva Hendrickson		Hendrickson will conduct microcystin, anatoxin-a, saxitoxin analyses			24.41%	0.2		\$12,904
Britta Larson		Larson will conduct portable genomic analysis and develop the public toolkit			24.41%	0.6		\$47,259
Shawnee McMillian		McMillian will conduct cyanotoxin analysis and develop the public toolkit			24.41%	0.6		\$48,975
Jane Reed Reed will develop online portal for uploading sequencing data, automate raw data processing to produce output files, and develop strategy for future public data visualization				26.79%	0.12		\$12,211	
Andrew Wood Wood will provide consultation to a		Wood will provide consultation to apply portable sequencer to the toolkit			26.79%	0.21		\$21,026
Undergraduate Researcher		They will conduct sample processing			0%	1.5		\$51,490
TBD They will conduct sample processing Technician, Temp/Casual		They will conduct sample processing			6.89%	0.03		\$1,451
GraduateThey will perform lab experiments and dataStudentanalysis and evaluate the performance of publicResearchertoolkit				41.85%	0.82		\$89,157	
Post Doctoral Researcher		They will perform lab experiments and data analysis and evaluate the performance of public toolkit			20.57%	0.03		\$2,535
							Sub Total	\$371,572
Contracts and Services								

The University	Internal	UMGC genomic analysis for sequencing to		0.02		\$12,000
of Minnesota Genomics	services or fees	compare nanopore sequencing results.				
Center	(uncommon)					
University of	Internal	Annual analytical fees based on NRRI Central		0.06		\$38,832
Minnesota	services or	Analytical Lab published rates. Year 1: Calculated		0.00		<i>430,032</i>
Duluth,	fees	for 200 samples. 200 samples @ [\$21.45				
Natural	(uncommon)	chlorophyll + \$36.08 TN/TP + \$11.71 SRP + \$14.43				
Resources		NH4 + \$14.81 NOx + \$15.05 DOC] = \$24,618. Year				
Research		2: Calculated for 100 samples. 100 samples @				
Institute		[\$21.45 chlorophyll				
University of	Internal	Two 64 TB Network Attached Storage (NAS) units		0.01		\$5 <i>,</i> 500
Minnesota	services or	for data deposition and virtual server processing to				
	fees	run bioinformatics pipelines				
	(uncommon)					
					Sub Total	\$56,332
Equipment,						
Tools, and						
Supplies						
	Tools and	Toolbox Bento lab system = \$2500/ea x 4	Development and testing of the			\$60,000
	Supplies	=\$10,000(Y1 and Y2) Nanopore system with flow	public toolbox, CyanoDetector			
		cells = \$2500/ea x 4 =\$10,0000 (Y1 and Y2)				
		Chemical, reagents, plasticwares in lab: \$40,000				
		(\$20,000 for Y1, \$10K for Y2, \$10K for Y3)				
	Tools and	Consumables (e.g., ELISA plates, standards, vials,	Analysis of cyanobacteria-producing			\$38,996
	Supplies	filters) required to quantify microcystins, anatoxin-	toxins			
		a, and saxitoxin. Calculated for 200 samples in Year				
		1 and 100 samples in Year 2.				4.0 - 0.0
	Tools and	Bottles required to collect water chemistry	Measurement of water quality			\$2,700
	Supplies	samples. Year 1: Calculated for 200 samples. 200	parameters associated with cHAB			
		samples @ [\$4.50 cubitainer + \$2.70 250mL				
		nalgene + \$1.80 125mL nalgene] = \$1800. Year 2: Calculated for 100 samples. 100 samples @ [\$4.50				
		cubitainer + \$2.70 250mL nalgene + \$1.80 125mL nalgene] = \$900.				
	Tools and	Reagent and supplies for toolbox training	Toolbox training workshop for local			\$3,000
	Supplies	workshop	water resources entities			
					Sub	\$104,696
					Total	
Capital						
Expenditures						

				Sub	-
				 Total	
Acquisitions and Stewardship					
				Sub Total	-
Travel In Minnesota					
	Miles/ Meals/ Lodging	Travel to MN Water Resources Conference: Mileage \$300 + lodging \$120 + registration \$200 + per diem \$80 = \$700 Travel to workshops in MN: ~Mileage \$300/trips x 3 trips + per diem \$50 x 4 people =\$1,100	Project meeting and dissemination activities		\$5,400
				Sub Total	\$5,400
Travel Outside Minnesota					
				Sub Total	-
Printing and Publication					
				Sub Total	-
Other Expenses					
		Shipping	Shipping for water samples from SWCDs and genomic materials to lab service		\$3,000
		Printing	Cost to print off materials for workshops held each year of the project		\$1,500
		Workshop boxed lunches	Cost to provide boxed lunches for the attendees at the workshop		\$7,500
				Sub Total	\$12,000
				Grand Total	\$550,000

# Classified Staff or Generally Ineligible Expenses

Category/Name	Subcategory or Type	Description	Justification Ineligible Expense or Classified Staff Request
---------------	------------------------	-------------	--

# Non ENRTF Funds

Category	Specific Source	Use	Status	Amount
State				
			State Sub	-
			Total	
Non-State				
In-Kind	UMN unrecovered indirect costs are calculated at the UMN negotiated rate for research of 54% modified total direct costs.	Indirect costs are those costs incurred for common or joint objectives that cannot be readily identified with a specific sponsored program or institutional activity. Examples include utilities, building maintenance, clerical salaries, and general supplies. (https://research.umn.edu/units/oca/fa-costs/direct-indirect-costs)	Secured	\$281,204
			Non State Sub Total	\$281,204
			Funds	\$281,204
			Total	

### Total Project Cost: \$831,204

## This amount accurately reflects total project cost?

Yes

# Attachments

## **Required Attachments**

*Visual Component* File: <u>090ae141-9f1.pdf</u>

#### Alternate Text for Visual Component

This visual describes the workflow of public toolbox to forecast toxic cyanobacteria blooms. Water samples will be taken from Minnesota waterbodies. The samples will be extracted for DNA which is used for toxin gene analysis using a portable MinION Sequencer and automated analysis, enabling timely interventions to safeguard public health....

## Supplemental Attachments

#### Capital Project Questionnaire, Budget Supplements, Support Letter, Photos, Media, Other

Title	File
Letter of Support_MPCA-2026-164	<u>c573b255-012.pdf</u>
Univ. of MN - Authorization Letter	<u>74a61e13-d10.pdf</u>
Letter of support_MDH-2026-164	<u>4e27d5c9-e7a.pdf</u>
Letter of support_CookCountyMN-2026-164	<u>d6b25e04-0f4.pdf</u>
Letter of support_CookCountyPHHS-2026-164	<u>7d3c9a5b-508.pdf</u>
Letter of support_SSLSWCD-2026-164	8084f758-e8a.pdf
Letter of support_Lake_SWCD-2026-164	fa81ed0b-e76.pdf
Letter of Support-NSLSWCD-2026-164	<u>79da6078-819.pdf</u>
Letter of Support_Cook_SWCD_2026-164	<u>b3cab068-943.pdf</u>

# Administrative Use

Does your project include restoration or acquisition of land rights?

No

Do you understand that travel expenses are only approved if they follow the "Commissioner's Plan" promulgated by the Commissioner of Management of Budget or, for University of Minnesota projects, the University of Minnesota plan?

Yes, I understand the UMN Policy on travel applies.

Does your project have potential for royalties, copyrights, patents, sale of products and assets, or revenue generation?

No

Do you understand and acknowledge IP and revenue-return and sharing requirements in 116P.10?

N/A

Do you wish to request reinvestment of any revenues into your project instead of returning revenue to the ENRTF? N/A

Does your project include original, hypothesis-driven research?

Yes

Does the organization have a fiscal agent for this project?

No

Does your project include the pre-design, design, construction, or renovation of a building, trail, campground, or other fixed capital asset costing \$10,000 or more or large-scale stream or wetland restoration?

No

Do you propose using an appropriation from the Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund to conduct a project that provides children's services (as defined in Minnesota Statutes section 299C.61 Subd.7 as "the provision of care, treatment, education, training, instruction, or recreation to children")?

No

Provide the name(s) and organization(s) of additional individuals assisting in the completion of this proposal:

Chan Lan Chun, University of Minnesota

Do you understand that a named service contract does not constitute a funder-designated subrecipient or approval of a sole-source contract? In other words, a service contract entity is only approved if it has been selected according to the contracting rules identified in state law and policy for organizations that receive ENRTF funds through direct appropriations, or in the DNR's reimbursement manual for non-state organizations. These rules may include competitive bidding and prevailing wage requirements

N/A