# Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund 2011-2012 Request for Proposals (RFP)

LCCMR	ID:	120-Е	

Project Title: Risk Assessment for Proactive Response to Forest Pests

Category: E. Aquatic and Terrestrial Invasive Species

Total Project Budget: \$ \$566,340

Proposed Project Time Period for the Funding Requested: 3 yrs, July 2011 - June 2014

Other Non-State Funds: \$ 0

Summary:

Pest risk assessment will proactively prioritize invasive species threats to Minnesota forests. An early detection network will be created to survey for high risk pests threatening to invade Minnesota.

Name: R	Robert Koch				
Sponsori	Sponsoring Organization: Department of Agriculture				
Address:	Address: 625 Robert St N				
	Saint Paul MN 55108				
Telephon	e Number:651-201-6549				
Email ro	Email robert.koch@state.mn.us				
Web Add	ress				
Location					
Region:	Statewide				
Ecological Section: Statewide					
County Name: Statewide					
City / Tow	vnship:				

Funding Priorities Multiple Benefits Outcomes Knowledge Base
Extent of Impact Innovation Scientific/Tech Basis Urgency
Capacity Readiness Leverage Employment TOTAL%

## 2011-2012 MAIN PROPOSAL

### PROJECT TITLE: Risk Assessment for Proactive Response to Forest Pests

### I. PROJECT STATEMENT

Pest risk assessments provide a means for proactively prioritizing invasive species threats by identifying pests with the greatest likelihood of invading the state and causing adverse effects. This project will result in a scientifically based and transparent procedure for evaluating pest risk, a ranked list of the pests threatening to invade the state, and an early detection network focused on areas were new invasive forest pests are most likely to enter the state.

Invasive species are among the top threats to Minnesota's forests. Many serious invasive species are not yet in the state but may be spreading from nearby states or may be introduced from other countries (e.g., Asian longhorned beetle, 1000 cankers disease, Siberian moth, and many others). Our state's management response to new invaders has tended to be more reactive than proactive. Proactive management, which has proven to be more cost effective, strives to prevent the introduction of new pests and to detect and eradicate the new invaders before they become widespread. Agencies and land managers responsible for dealing with new invasive species threats are working with limited budgets, and therefore must prioritize which invasive species they will focus on. Limited resources can then be focused on the most important pests, which will optimize invasive species prevention and early detection efforts. Currently, risk assessments exist for some forest pests, but these risk assessments have generally been conducted on a national scale and may not be relevant to state-level decision making. Furthermore, not enough pests have been evaluated in a consistent manner to allow for comparison among pests to determine where resources should be directed. The MN Forest Protection Plan of 2008 emphasized the need for more pest risk assessment to strengthen forest protection systems.

We propose a project in which we will:

- Develop a rapid pest risk assessment protocol to evaluate and rank the pests on the State's list of invasive species threats,
- Conduct pest risk assessments for new invasive pests threatening to harm Minnesota's natural resources, and
- Develop an early detection network of semi-permanent plots in public and private wooded areas with high chances for pest introduction. Wooded areas near urban centers will be targeted because scientific data suggests that most invasive insects arrive and establish in urban/community forests before spreading to traditional natural forests. Industries in these areas will be educated on invasive species.

ENRTF funding will accelerate the development of such risk assessment protocols, which goes beyond the normal work conducted by this state or most other states. Furthermore, collaboration with the project partners (listed below) will result in a more in depth and comprehensive end product than could be developed with existing agency resources.

### **II. DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT ACTIVITIES**

Activity 1: Pest Risk Assessment to Rank Pests for Proactive Response Budget: <u>\$ 198,114</u> Previously, the Minnesota Invasive Species Advisory Council (MISAC) used the opinion of a panel of experts to list and rank the invasive pests threatening the state. This list of potential pest threats will be updated to include new invasive species threats that have recently risen to attention. Based on an intensive regional pest risk assessment protocol created by the USDA Forest Service in Minnesota, a "stream-lined" rapid risk assessment protocol will be developed. As the intensive pest risk assessment protocol requires about one month to complete the detailed documentation of data and decision making steps, it is infeasible for use in evaluating numerous pests. The new rapid risk assessment protocol will provide an important new methodology for evaluating and ranking numerous pests in a scientifically based and transparent manner.

The updated State list of invasive pest threats will provide the basis, from which forest insect pests will be selected for further evaluation and ranking. These pests will be evaluated with the rapid risk assessment protocol to rank their risks of invading and causing harm to the State's forest resources. Of these, the 8 highest ranking pests will be further evaluated with the intensive risk assessment protocol developed by the USDA Forest Service.

Outcome	Completion Date
1. Update the State's list of potential invasive pest threats	Dec. 31, 2011
2. Finalize rapid risk assessment protocol	Mar. 31, 2012
3. Evaluate pests using rapid risk assessment protocol	June 30, 2013
4. Evaluate pests using intensive risk assessment protocol	June 30, 2014

Activity 2: Early Detection Network for Proactive Response to Forest Pests Budget: <u>\$ 368,226</u> A network of semi-permanent survey plots will be established for forest pest first early detection efforts. The survey plots will be located at six population centers throughout the state (Twin Cities, Duluth, Rochester, St. Cloud, Mankato and Moorhead), which have higher risk for pest introduction due to increased commerce and shipments which can carry new pests to those areas. At each population center, blacklight and pheromone traps will be set at six wooded, semi-natural areas near high-risk introduction sites (e.g., ports, warehouses). Outreach materials on invasive pests will be created and distributed to pertinent industries in these areas.

Outcome	Completion Date
1. Establish relationships to gain access to public/private land for survey	June 30, 2012
2. Collect samples for early detection survey	Oct. 31, 2013
3. Process samples from early detection survey	June 30, 2014

# III. PROJECT STRATEGY

R.L. Koch	MDA	Co-Principal	ENRTF funding to support a taxonomist & 2	
		Investigator	seasonal staff to collect & process samples.	
			No ENRTF funding to Koch.	
W.D.	U of MN,	Co-Principal	ENRTF funding to support a researcher for	
Hutchison	Entomology	Investigator	risk assessment work. No ENRTF funding to	
			Hutchison.	
R.C.	USDA Forest	Provide technical	No ENRTF funding to Venette.	
Venette	Service	guidance		
L. Skinner	DNR	Provide technical	No ENRTF funding to Skinner or Burks.	
& S. Burks		guidance		

### **B. Timeline Requirements**

This proposal is being submitted for a 3-year project. In the first year, the State's list of invasive pest threats will be updated, the rapid risk assessment protocol finalized, and some pests evaluated. In the second year, the early detection network will be developed, pest surveys will be conducted and additional pests will be evaluated. In the third year, pest surveys and evaluation will continue.

### C. Long-Term Strategy and Future Funding Needs

Pest risk assessment protocols developed in this project will continue to be used to optimize allocation of limited resources to the pests of greatest concern, and therefore facilitate better long-term protection of the environment. The forest pest early detection network will dovetail with the Cooperative Agricultural Pest Survey (CAPS) conducted with USDA APHIS funding. These CAPS funds and other federal funding will be sought to continue the early detection efforts into the future.

### 2011-2012 Detailed Project Budget IV. TOTAL TRUST FUND REQUEST BUDGET

BUDGET ITEM		AMOUNT
Personnel:		
Insect taxonomist [unclassified] at MDA (1 yr salary+45% fringe)=\$66,770 x 2 yrs	\$	133,400
Seasonal staff at MDA (6 mo salary+7.65% fringe)=\$13,394 x 2 staff x 2 yrs	\$	53,574
Contracts:		
U of MN Post-Doctoral Researcher to be advised by W.D. Hutchison		
(1 yr salary+32.5% fringe)=\$63,995 x 3 yrs	\$	191,985
Equipment/Tools/Supplies:		
Software for risk analyses: CLIMEX software for habitat suitability modeling \$2,285; SigmaPlot 11.0 (Graphing software) \$449; @Risk 5.0 (Industrial version for Monte Carol uncertainty analysis) \$1,995; Annual site license for SAS (statistical analysis system) \$150/year * 2 yrs = \$300; ArcView (GIS software) \$200/year * 3		
years = \$600	\$	5,629
Pest survey supplies: Blacklight traps & installation (36 sites x \$1,940 per trap cost x \$1,940 per trap instalation cost = \$139,680); Pheromone traps & lures (\$9,720); Hand held GPS unit (\$500); miscellaneous field supplies (\$1,000)	\$	150 900
	\$	
Acquisition (Fee Title or Permanent Easements): N/A	\$	
Travel:		
[Rental for 2 cars + fuel costs] x 2 yrs for field staff to check traps throughout state	\$	29 008
Meals while traveling x 2 yrs	\$	1 344
Additional Budget Items:	Ψ	
Publication & printing includes journal articles and outreach materials (e.g., fact sheets & posters)	\$	500
TOTAL ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES TRUST FUND \$ REQUEST	\$	566,340

# **V. OTHER FUNDS**

SOURCE OF FUNDS	AMOUNT	<u>Status</u>
In-kind Services During Project Period: Koch and Hutchison will provide		
technical guidance & adminstation at no cost to ENRTF. Venette, Skinner and Burks		
will be providing technical guidance at no cost to ENRTF.	\$-	
Funding History: From 2006 to 2008 MDA and the Forest Service began		
developing risk assessment procedures. However, this work has sat idle since due		
to lack of funding.	\$-	

#### Project Manager Qualifications and Organization Description

#### PROJECT MANAGER QUALIFICATIONS: Robert L. Koch, Ph.D.

#### Education

•Ph.D. Entomology, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, March 2005 (GPA 4.0/4.0)
•B.A. Biology, Saint John's University, Collegeville, MN, December 1999 (GPA 3.6/4.0)

#### **Current Position**

Research Scientist II, Plant Protection Division, Minnesota Department of Agriculture, 2008-present

- Coordinate surveys for invasive pests of natural and agricultural systems.
- Provide training and resources to communities to prepare for emerald ash borer.

#### **Previous Professional Experience**

Project Consultant, Plant Protection Division, Minnesota Department of Agriculture, 2007-2008
Plant Health Specialist II, Plant Protection Division, Minnesota Department of Agriculture, 2006-2007
Post-Doctoral Research Associate, Department of Entomology, University of Minnesota, 2005-2006
Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Entomology, University of Minnesota, 2000-2005

**Selected Publications Related to Invasive Species and Risk Assessment** (5 of 29 total) Venette, R.C. and R.L. Koch. IPM for invasive species. 2009. In: Radcliffe, E.B., W.D. Hutchison and R.E. Cancelado (eds.), Integrated Pest Management. Cambridge University Press (in press).

Koch, R.L. and T.L. Galvan. 2008. Bad side of a good beetle: The North American experience with *Harmonia axyridis*. BioControl 53(1): 23-35.

Koch, R.L., R.C. Venette and W.D. Hutchison. 2006. Invasions by *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas) (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in the Western Hemisphere: Implications for South America. Neotropical Entomology 35(4):421-434.

Koch, R.L., R.C. Venette and W.D. Hutchison. 2006. Predicted impact of an invasive generalist predator on monarch butterfly (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) populations: A quantitative risk assessment. Biological Invasions 8(5):1179-1193.

Koch, R.L. 2003. The multicolored Asian lady beetle, *Harmonia axyridis*: A review of its biology, uses in biological control, and non-target impacts. Journal of Insect Science 3(32): 16pp. http://www.insectscience.org/3.32/

#### **ORGANIZATION DESCRIPTION: Minnesota Department of Agriculture**

The Minnesota Department of Agriculture has statutory authority to abate, suppress, eradicate, prevent, or otherwise regulate the introduction or establishment of plant pests that threaten Minnesota's agricultural, forest, or horticultural interests or the general ecological quality of the state (Minnesota Statutes Chapter 18G, 2007).