



Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund

M.L. 2025 Approved Work Plan

General Information

ID Number: 2025-087

Staff Lead: Noah Fribley

Date this document submitted to LCCMR: June 5, 2025

Project Title: Enhancing Degradation of Emerging Contaminants via Microbial Starvation

Project Budget: \$390,000

Project Manager Information

Name: Paige Novak

Organization: U of MN - College of Science and Engineering

Office Telephone: (612) 626-9846

Email: novak010@umn.edu

Web Address: <https://cse.umn.edu/>

Project Reporting

Date Work Plan Approved by LCCMR: June 24, 2025

Reporting Schedule: March 1 / September 1 of each year.

Project Completion: June 30, 2028

Final Report Due Date: August 14, 2028

Legal Information

Legal Citation: M.L. 2025, First Special Session, Chp. 1, Art. 2, Sec. 2, Subd. 04g

Appropriation Language: \$390,000 the first year is from the trust fund to the Board of Regents of the University of Minnesota to study how wastewater treatment systems can be improved to more effectively biodegrade mixtures of pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and other contaminants of emerging concern and protect Minnesota's water resources.

Appropriation End Date: June 30, 2028

Narrative

Project Summary: Our research will provide concrete data to inexpensively improve the design of wastewater systems to biodegrade mixtures of pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and other contaminants of emerging concern, protecting our water resources.

Describe the opportunity or problem your proposal seeks to address. Include any relevant background information.

Contaminants of emerging concern (CECs), such as pesticides and pharmaceuticals, are present in the environment and cause ecological or human health impacts (antimicrobial resistance, endocrine disruption) at low concentrations. CECs are found in water throughout lakes and rivers Minnesota. We must improve our wastewater treatment to remove or degrade CECs to protect our water supplies and enable industrial reuse of water whenever possible. Though bacteria can biodegrade many CECs, it is unpredictable and highly dependent on treatment conditions. The conditions of current biological treatment methods are often not optimal for CEC removal, and thus insufficient to prevent release of CECs or safeguard health during water reuse. Improvements are needed to protect ecological and human health from the impacts of CECs.

Interestingly, research has shown that when bacteria are “starved”, they will adapt by degrading a larger variety of compounds, including CECs, and degrading them to much lower residual concentrations—essentially, “eating everything on their plate” while simultaneously “cleaning their plate.” Unfortunately, although observed, the phenomenon is not understood sufficiently to utilize it for the development, design, and implementation of treatment systems for a wide variety of CECs in wastewater.

What is your proposed solution to the problem or opportunity discussed above? Introduce us to the work you are seeking funding to do. You will be asked to expand on this proposed solution in Activities & Milestones.

CEC biodegradation is an optimal choice for treatment because it is inexpensive, it transforms CECs to non-harmful products, takes advantage of existing infrastructure, and is less energy intensive than other physical and chemical treatment options. Our proposed solution to the challenge of CECs in waste- and surface water is to stimulate better and more complete CEC biodegradation by determining appropriate treatment conditions that enable bacteria to effectively degrade more of these compounds to lower residual concentrations.

We need to better understand “starvation behavior” in bacteria so that treatment systems can be designed to stimulate this phenomenon predictably. We must understand (1) which types of CECs commonly found in Minnesota can be biodegraded simultaneously by bacteria under carbon-limited (starvation) conditions, (2) to what residual concentration are these CECs degraded, and (3) the carbon (i.e., bacterial food) concentration that induces this kind of behavior, essentially, how “hungry” do the bacteria need to be to effectively and efficiently degrade CECs.

This research will answer these fundamental questions, providing guidance that can be used to make simple and concrete engineering decisions to improve treatment systems to optimize them for CEC removal.

What are the specific project outcomes as they relate to the public purpose of protection, conservation, preservation, and enhancement of the state’s natural resources?

We will understand classes/categories of CECs commonly found in Minnesota waters that degrade readily under biological starvation conditions, enabling appropriate treatment decisions to be made. We will also determine the required conditions to elicit this response from bacteria. These outcomes will provide specific data (e.g., target residence times or carbon concentrations) that can be used to improve design by changing the residence time in biological treatment systems, manage polishing ponds and natural treatment systems, or use new technologies that create bacterial starvation conditions, such as membrane bioreactor systems, to effectively remove CECs.

Project Location

What is the best scale for describing where your work will take place?

Statewide

What is the best scale to describe the area impacted by your work?

Statewide

When will the work impact occur?

During the Project and In the Future

Activities and Milestones

Activity 1: Determine how bacteria grown with abundant versus limited food sources differ in the rate and extent of CEC biodegradation

Activity Budget: \$260,000

Activity Description:

We will establish microbial communities in triplicate aerobic continuous-flow reactors cultured under either (1) starvation conditions or (2) typical growth conditions. Reactors will be seeded with biomass from a membrane bioreactor in Hutchinson, MN, which should be adapted to starvation conditions. The carbon concentration flowing into and out of the reactors and the bacteria flowing out will be measured. The microbial community will be analyzed to see how it changes over time and when it stabilizes.

After the system has stabilized, the reactor influent will be amended with a mixture of 9 CECs, all commonly found in Minnesota waters (atenolol, atrazine, carbamazepine, DEET, estrone, imidacloprid, metformin, metolachlor, sulfamethoxazole). These CECs represent different likely degradation pathways (oxidation, substitution, or reduction), allowing us to extend what we learn to other CECs. The residual concentration of each CEC in the mixture will be determined from the effluent of the reactors. The microbial community and the activity of certain known CEC biodegradation enzymes will be monitored to determine the extent that community shifts versus behavior changes are responsible for the CEC degradation patterns observed. Effluent will be collected and placed in batch reactors to assess if additional time leads to further CEC degradation.

Activity Milestones:

Description	Approximate Completion Date
Verification of CEC extraction and analysis method	December 31, 2025
Development and analysis of microbial communities under conditions of abundant vs. limiting food sources	May 31, 2026
Isolation of wastewater bacteria	May 31, 2026
Determination of biodegradation extent of the CEC mixture when microbial communities are starved or not	December 31, 2026
Determination of biodegradation extent of the CEC mixture when microbial isolates are starved or not	March 31, 2027
Determine how changes in the microbial community structure versus activity correspond to enhanced CEC biodegradation	May 31, 2027

Activity 2: Determine the “starvation threshold” at which bacteria develop an ability to biodegrade more CECs simultaneously and to a lower concentration

Activity Budget: \$130,000

Activity Description:

Using the same continuous-flow reactor approach described in Activity 1, we will alter the residence time systematically between 3 hours (ample food available) and 100 hours (starvation). Once the carbon concentration and the bacteria flowing out of the reactors are stabilized for each condition, they will be amended with the CEC mixture, and the residual concentration of each CEC in the mixture will be determined from the effluent. The carbon concentration and microbial concentration will be monitored throughout. Six different residence times will initially be tested (3, 6, 10, 20, 40, and 100 hours), which will be altered as needed. Residual CEC and organic carbon concentrations will be measured over time, allowing for replication over time.

We expect that as we increase the residence time to be longer than about 10 hours, enhanced CEC degradation will be

observed. This expectation is based on what we have observed in wastewater treatment systems with estrone and what others have observed in laboratory studies with both mixed and pure cultures. Through our careful selection of CECs, focusing on their degradation mechanisms and compound classes, the results should be generalizable to additional compounds beyond those that we are testing.

Activity Milestones:

Description	Approximate Completion Date
Development of microbial communities under a range of conditions, from starved to grown under abundance	November 30, 2027
Development of microbial isolates under a range of conditions, from starved to grown under abundance	January 31, 2028
Determination of CEC biodegradation extent ranging from starvation to abundance for isolates and mixed communities	May 31, 2028
Synthesis of results to facilitate extension of observations to real engineered systems	June 30, 2028

Project Partners and Collaborators

Name	Organization	Role	Receiving Funds
John Glatzmaier	Short Elliott Hendrickson Inc. (SEH®)	SEH® is a regional engineering firm with strong Minnesota contacts. We will share results with them in a timely manner and they will help to disseminate the results to their clients and regulatory contacts.	No
Scott Kyser	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	We will share results with Scott and the MPCA in a timely manner and they will help to disseminate the results to wastewater treatment facilities across Minnesota.	No
William Arnold	University of Minnesota	Co-PI.	Yes

Dissemination

Describe your plans for dissemination, presentation, documentation, or sharing of data, results, samples, physical collections, and other products and how they will follow ENRTF Acknowledgement Requirements and Guidelines.

The target audience for results from this research will be environmental engineers and scientists in academia, professionals in the area of wastewater treatment, city managers and other local government officials, the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency, and Metropolitan Council Environmental Services (MCES). Results will be disseminated through scholarly publications in peer-reviewed journals such as Environmental Science and Technology and Environmental Science: Water Research and Technology. Results from the research project will also be presented at regional conferences such as the Conference on the Environment and seminars and roundtables hosted by project partners (MPCA) and area consulting firms.

The Minnesota Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund (ENRTF) will be acknowledged through use of the trust fund logo or attribution language on project print and electronic media, publications, signage, and other communications per the ENRTF Acknowledgement Guidelines.

Long-Term Implementation and Funding

Describe how the results will be implemented and how any ongoing effort will be funded. If not already addressed as part of the project, how will findings, results, and products developed be implemented after project completion? If additional work is needed, how will this work be funded?

We will disseminate results through publications, conferences and contacts to Minnesota Pollution Control Agency personnel, wastewater treatment plants, and consultants. This complements our current research and is also an extension of our previous research on the biodegradation of the human hormone estrone in wastewater. The UMN and Novak are partners on a large grant focused on water technology and funded by the National Science Foundation at \$15M/2 years, with the potential for additional investments of up to \$145M/8 years. This effort will be leveraged across work at UMN and in Novak's laboratory on water technology.

Other ENRTF Appropriations Awarded in the Last Six Years

Name	Appropriation	Amount Awarded
Determining Influence of Insecticides on Algal Blooms	M.L. 2019, First Special Session, Chp. 4, Art. 2, Sec. 2, Subd. 04a	\$350,000
Benign Design: Environmental Studies Leading to Sustainable Pharmaceuticals	M.L. 2019, First Special Session, Chp. 4, Art. 2, Sec. 2, Subd. 04b	\$415,000

Improving Nitrogen Removal in Greater Minnesota Wastewater Treatment Ponds	M.L. 2019, First Special Session, Chp. 4, Art. 2, Sec. 2, Subd. 04e	\$325,000
Degrading Chlorinated Industrial Contaminants with Bacteria	M.L. 2019, First Special Session, Chp. 4, Art. 2, Sec. 2, Subd. 04s	\$150,000
Technology For Energy-Generating Onsite Industrial Wastewater Treatment	M.L. 2021, First Special Session, Chp. 6, Art. 5, Sec. 2, Subd. 04b	\$450,000
Finding, Capturing, and Destroying PFAS in Minnesota Waters	M.L. 2023, , Chp. 60, Art. 2, Sec. 2, Subd. 04d	\$478,000
Removing CECs from Stormwater with Biofiltration	M.L. 2023, , Chp. 60, Art. 2, Sec. 2, Subd. 04j	\$641,000

Budget Summary

Category / Name	Subcategory or Type	Description	Purpose	Gen. Ineligible	% Benefits	# FTE	Classified Staff?	\$ Amount
Personnel								
Paige Novak, PI		Project supervisor. Novak, PI. Overall project supervision, experimental design, data analysis and interpretation.			37.1%	0.21		\$75,519
William Arnold, Co-PI		Project co-supervisor. Arnold, Co-PI. Analytical method development, data analysis and interpretation.			37.1%	0.15		\$50,771
Graduate student researcher		Graduate Research Assistant. Will set up the flow-through reactors and grow bacteria under a range of conditions, will perform the experiments described to determine how low concentrations of substrate enable better contaminant of emerging concern degradation. Will sequence and analyze the microbial community data. Will analyze samples and data as a whole to determine outcomes.			45%	1.5		\$170,404
Undergraduate student researcher		Undergraduate Research Assistant. Will assist graduate student with reactor operation, sampling, sample analysis.			0%	0.36		\$11,452
							Sub Total	\$308,146
Contracts and Services								
Agilent	Service Contract	Preventative maintenance, service maintenance, and calibration of liquid chromatograph mass spectrometer required for antibiotic measurements				0.15		\$21,000
University of Minnesota Genomics Center	Internal services or fees (uncommon)	Sequencing the microbial communities will allow us to determine whether specific organisms are enriched under different conditions and what their identity is.				0.04		\$9,000
							Sub Total	\$30,000
Equipment, Tools, and Supplies								
	Equipment	Six low-flow pumps	Pumps will be purchased for the flow-through reactor. The flow-rate needed					\$12,000

			is very low, so new specialized pumps will be needed.					
	Tools and Supplies	Laboratory supplies and analytical costs (includes, but is not limited to, chemicals for all analyses, supplies to run analytical equipment and perform analysis, supplies for reactor construction). These are all required costs.	Reactor materials will be purchased to grow the organisms. Chemicals will be purchased to perform the needed CEC and microbial analyses and to culture the bacteria. CECs will be purchased to study, including isotope-labeled standards to ensure reproducible and high quality analysis, particularly for the low concentrations expected.					\$39,198
							Sub Total	\$51,198
Capital Expenditures								
							Sub Total	-
Acquisitions and Stewardship								
							Sub Total	-
Travel In Minnesota								
	Miles/ Meals/ Lodging	Travel to Hutchinson, MN from the UMN campus is 70 miles one-way. Reimbursement rates are 67 cents/mile. We anticipate 3 trips a year for the first two years and one trip in the final year.	Project personnel will travel to pick up wastewater biomass samples from Hutchinson Minnesota. They operate a membrane bioreactor, which operates under starvation conditions. We have discussed using their bacteria in this research.					\$656
							Sub Total	\$656
Travel Outside Minnesota								
							Sub Total	-
Printing and Publication								
							Sub Total	-

Other Expenses								
							Sub Total	-
							Grand Total	\$390,000

Classified Staff or Generally Ineligible Expenses

Category/Name	Subcategory or Type	Description	Justification Ineligible Expense or Classified Staff Request
---------------	---------------------	-------------	--

Non ENRTF Funds

Category	Specific Source	Use	Status	\$ Amount
State				
			State Sub Total	-
Non-State				
			Non State Sub Total	-
			Funds Total	-

Total Project Cost: \$390,000

This amount accurately reflects total project cost?

Yes

Attachments

Required Attachments

Visual Component

File: [8e0c79c2-062.pdf](#)

Alternate Text for Visual Component

The graphic shows how bacteria that are starved are able to degrade contaminants of emerging concern more effectively than well-fed bacteria....

Supplemental Attachments

Capital Project Questionnaire, Budget Supplements, Support Letter, Photos, Media, Other

Title	File
University of Minnesota endorsement letter	9b32405c-32f.pdf
2025-087 Research Addendum revised_final	154d45ac-d85.docx

Difference between Proposal and Work Plan

Describe changes from Proposal to Work Plan Stage

I changed the reporting requirements, but don't really need to. You can change that back.

Additional Acknowledgements and Conditions:

The following are acknowledgements and conditions beyond those already included in the above workplan:

Do you understand and acknowledge the ENRTF repayment requirements if the use of capital equipment changes?

N/A

Do you understand that travel expenses are only approved if they follow the "Commissioner's Plan" promulgated by the Commissioner of Management of Budget or, for University of Minnesota projects, the University of Minnesota plan?

Yes, I understand the UMN Policy on travel applies.

Does your project have potential for royalties, copyrights, patents, sale of products and assets, or revenue generation?

No

Do you understand and acknowledge IP and revenue-return and sharing requirements in 116P.10?

N/A

Do you wish to request reinvestment of any revenues into your project instead of returning revenue to the ENRTF?

N/A

Does your project include original, hypothesis-driven research?

Yes

Does the organization have a fiscal agent for this project?

No

Does your project include the pre-design, design, construction, or renovation of a building, trail, campground, or other fixed capital asset costing \$10,000 or more or large-scale stream or wetland restoration?

No

Do you propose using an appropriation from the Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund to conduct a project that provides children's services (as defined in Minnesota Statutes section 299C.61 Subd.7 as "the provision of care, treatment, education, training, instruction, or recreation to children")?

No

Provide the name(s) and organization(s) of additional individuals assisting in the completion of this project:

William Arnold, Professor, and Nicole Jones, Accountant, Department of Civil, Environmental, and Geo-Engineering at the University of Minnesota; personnel in the Sponsored Projects Administration at the University of Minnesota.

Do you understand that a named service contract does not constitute a funder-designated subrecipient or approval of a sole-source contract? In other words, a service contract entity is only approved if it has been selected according to the contracting rules identified in state law and policy for organizations that receive ENRTF funds through direct appropriations, or in the DNR's reimbursement manual for non-state organizations. These rules may include competitive bidding and prevailing wage requirements

Yes, I understand