

#### **Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund**

#### M.L. 2023 Draft Work Plan

#### **General Information**

ID Number: 2023-064 Staff Lead: Corrie Layfield Date this document submitted to LCCMR: February 16, 2023 Project Title: Native Prairie Outreach and Stewardship through Native Prairie Project Budget: \$620,000

#### **Project Manager Information**

Name: Judy Schulte Organization: MN DNR - Ecological and Water Resources Division Office Telephone: (507) 637-6016 Email: judy.schulte@state.mn.us Web Address: https://www.dnr.state.mn.us/ewr/index.html

#### **Project Reporting**

Reporting Schedule: April 1 / October 1 of each year.Project Completion: June 30, 2027Final Report Due Date: August 14, 2027

#### Legal Information

Legal Citation: Appropriation Language: Appropriation End Date: June 30, 2027

#### Narrative

**Project Summary:** Prairie outreach and technical assistance will be provided to landowners, practitioners, and the public. Native prairie enhancement and monitoring activities will be implemented on existing Native Prairie Bank Easements.

#### Describe the opportunity or problem your proposal seeks to address. Include any relevant background information.

Native prairie is a fragile, unique natural ecosystem that consists of thousands of different organisms, plants, animals, bacteria and soil fungi. Their complex interactions provide the food, water and shelter required by many of Minnesota's rare, threatened and endangered species. Native prairie provides a multitude of benefits to the citizens of the state, such as water filtration and recharge, pollinator and wildlife habitat, carbon sequestration, soil health, erosion control, outdoor recreation, etc. High quality native prairies provide the best available habitat for native prairie pollinators. Restoration efforts aim to meet the standards set by these original native prairies.

The Minnesota Biological Survey has identified about 249,000 acres of remaining native prairie in Minnesota, approximately 1.3% of what once existed in the state. Of these 249,000 acres, approximately 123,000 acres still have no formal protection. Threats to native prairie continue to be widespread including cropland conversion, mining, development, invasive species, woody encroachment, and non-prairie focused land use/management.

# What is your proposed solution to the problem or opportunity discussed above? Introduce us to the work you are seeking funding to do. You will be asked to expand on this proposed solution in Activities & Milestones.

This proposal seeks to provide outreach and technical assistance to private landowners, practitioners, and the public to improve the conservation and management of native prairie. Improved management of these highly complex habitats is necessary to sustain many of the state's rare, threatened, and endangered species, provide functioning native prairie pollinator habitat, and provide a multitude of benefits to the citizens and animals of the state.

Additionally, enhancement and monitoring activities will be completed on existing Native Prairie Bank Conservation Easements. Activities include invasive species prevention and control, woody species removal, prescribed burns (or alternative prescribed disturbance, such as haying, mowing, or grazing, where appropriate), and both ecological and compliance monitoring.

Established in 1987 through Minnesota Statute 84.96, Native Prairie Bank (NPB) has a proven track record of working with landowners to enhance and protect high quality native prairie while leaving the land in private ownership. In partnership with landowners, Native Prairie Bank currently manages, with exceptionally high standards, 14,609 acres of native prairie and associated habitat on 165 sites throughout the prairie region of the state. The goal is to expand this partnership and effort through this proposal.

# What are the specific project outcomes as they relate to the public purpose of protection, conservation, preservation, and enhancement of the state's natural resources?

Lack of management/mismanagement are a growing (if not now the largest) threat to the loss of native prairie in Minnesota. Through this appropriation, Minnesota's prairies and natural heritage will be preserved for future generations by providing outreach and technical assistance and by implementing prairie enhancement (~380 acres) and monitoring activities (~35 sites). Activities include invasive species control, woody species removal, prescribed burns (or alternative prescribed disturbance), and both ecological and compliance monitoring. Outreach and technical assistance will be provided to landowners, practitioners, youth, and the public to help create better understanding and implementation of native prairie management.

#### **Project Location**

- What is the best scale for describing where your work will take place? Region(s): Central, NW, SW,
- What is the best scale to describe the area impacted by your work? Statewide

#### When will the work impact occur?

During the Project and In the Future

#### **Activities and Milestones**

#### Activity 1: Prairie Landowner Assistance, Prairie Outreach, and Existing Native Prairie Bank Stewardship

Activity Budget: \$276,077

#### **Activity Description:**

Private landowners, practitioners, youth, and the general public will increase their awareness and stewardship of native prairie as a result of this project's workshops, field days, presentations, one-on-one technical assistance, online resource development, etc. The Prairie Landowner Network established through the ML18 appropriation will continue to use innovative ways to connect prairie landowners through social media, email, newsletters, training opportunities, etc. This network is aimed at improving prairie quality on private lands by keeping landowners up-to-date on the best management strategies. At least 4 landowners will receive a comprehensive prairie stewardship plan that will provide specific prairie management expertise for their property. About 27 monitoring visits will be completed on existing NPBs to evaluate current conditions and ensure easement compliance.

#### **Activity Milestones:**

Description	Approximate
	<b>Completion Date</b>
~7 workshops/field days for prairie landowners, practitioners, youth and general public (~450 people)	June 30, 2027
consultations, guidance, mgmt. asst., etc. to ~70 landowners	June 30, 2027
700+ prairie stewards participating in the Prairie Landowner Network	June 30, 2027
~4 prairie stewardship plans provided to prairie landowners (~250 acres)	June 30, 2027
monitoring and stewardship of ~27 NPB easements	June 30, 2027

#### Activity 2: 380 Acres of Native Prairie Habitat Enhancement & Restoration

#### Activity Budget: \$343,923

#### **Activity Description:**

Enhancement activities will be completed on about 380 acres. Activities include invasive species prevention and control, woody species removal, and prescribed burns (or alternative prescribed disturbance, such as haying, mowing or grazing, where appropriate). Ecological Monitoring will occur at 8 NPB sites to collect ecological data used to evaluate whether the objectives of management were met, to analyze ecological changes over time and to contribute to future management decisions. Monitoring and enhancement activities will be carried out via landowner agreements, DNR staff, Conservation Corps of Minnesota and Iowa (CCMI), volunteers, and/or contractors.

#### **Activity Milestones:**

Description	Approximate Completion Date
~ 250 acres of prescribed burns (or alternative prescribed disturbance)	June 30, 2027
~130 acres of woody removal & invasive species treatment	June 30, 2027
Ecological monitoring on ~8 NPB sites	June 30, 2027

#### **Project Partners and Collaborators**

Name	Organization	Role	Receiving Funds
Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan Partners and Local Technical Teams	Teams of staff from SWCD's, NRCS, DNR, USFWS, TNC, Pheasants Forever, etc.	Help create and provide outreach to prairie landowners, youth, and citizens.	No

#### Dissemination

**Describe your plans for dissemination, presentation, documentation, or sharing of data, results, samples, physical collections, and other products and how they will follow ENRTF Acknowledgement Requirements and Guidelines.** Copies of Stewardship Plans are provided to local DNR managers and used by the landowner with other agencies and programs. U.S. mailings, social media, newsletters, etc. will be created and distributed to prairie landowners as part of the Prairie Landowner Network.

The Minnesota Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund (ENRTF) will be acknowledged through use of the trust fund logo or attribution language on project print and electronic media, publications, signage, and other communications per the ENRTF Acknowledgement Guidelines.

#### Long-Term Implementation and Funding

Describe how the results will be implemented and how any ongoing effort will be funded. If not already addressed as part of the project, how will findings, results, and products developed be implemented after project completion? If additional work is needed, how will this work be funded?

Without active management native prairie biodiversity declines. This habitat decline means the decline of rare, threatened, and endangered species, Species in Greatest Conservation Need (SGCNs), and pollinators. The DNR has identified ~249,000 acres of remaining native prairie in Minnesota, of which ~123,000 acres are privately owned with no protection. This proposal's outreach work provides the informational tools and assistance Minnesotan's need to improve prairie management.

Native Prairie Bank could utilize ENRTF support on-going to help Minnesotans stay informed on best management practices and to provide technical support for the management of the state's critically imperiled native prairie.

#### Other ENRTF Appropriations Awarded in the Last Six Years

Name	Appropriation	Amount Awarded
Native Prairie Stewardship and Prairie Bank Easement Acquisition	M.L. 2017, Chp. 96, Sec. 2, Subd. 09e	\$2,675,000
Easement Program for Native Prairie Bank	M.L. 2018, Chp. 214, Art. 4, Sec. 2, Subd. 09i	\$2,000,000
Private Native Prairie Conservation through Native Prairie Bank	M.L. 2021, First Special Session, Chp. 6, Art. 5, Sec. 2, Subd. 09b	\$2,000,000
Native Prairie Stewardship & Prairie Bank Easement Acquisition	M.L. 2021, First Special Session, Chp. 6, Art. 6, Sec. 2, Subd. 09h	\$1,341,000

#### Budget Summary

Category / Name	Subcategory or Type			Gen. Ineli gible	% Bene fits	# FTE	Class ified Staff?	\$ Amount
Personnel								
Laborers & Seasonal crews		On the ground project implementation			20%	0.22	X	\$16,000
Specialists & Technicians		On the ground project implementation			25%	3.52	Х	\$247,000
Management Coordinator and Prairie Biologist		Project management and budget implementation, contract execution, partner coordination			25%	0.32	х	\$28,000
							Sub Total	\$291,000
Contracts and Services								
TBD & Conservation Corp of MN	Professional or Technical Service Contract	Professional/Technical: ecological monitoring and private prairie stewardship plans Conservation Corp of MN: Prairie Landowner Network (1/2 individual placement for 1 year), prescribed burning/disturbance, invasives, and woody removal Service Contracts: prescribed burning, invasives, woody removal, etc.				3.54		\$176,000
							Sub Total	\$176,000
Equipment, Tools, and Supplies								
	Equipment	Activity 2: minor equipment for field operations (walk-behind brush mowers, water pumps and tanks, etc.)	Project implemention					\$3,500
	Tools and Supplies	Activity 1: outreach materials and supplies, mailing of Prairie Landowner Network newsletter.	Project implementation					\$9,000
	Tools and Supplies	Activity 2: herbicide, safety supplies, equipment repair/parts, field tools, enclosure fence for monitoring, etc.	Project Implementation					\$9,400

				Sub	\$21,900
Capital				Total	
Expenditures					
-				Sub	-
				Total	
Acquisitions and					
Stewardship					
· · · · ·				Sub	-
				Total	
Travel In Minnesota					
	Miles/ Meals/	DNR fleet charges (for operation of trucks, cars, &	Travel expenses needed to complete		\$78,500
	Lodging	special fieldwork equipment) & instate travel costs (as per state contracts)	direct project work		
				Sub	\$78,500
				Total	<i>910,000</i>
Travel					
Outside Minnesota					
				Sub	-
				Total	
Printing and Publication					
	Printing	Printing of outreach materials and Minnesota Prairie	Direct printing and mailing for project		\$8,578
		Landowner Network Newsletter	outcomes		
				Sub Total	\$8,578
Other					
Expenses					
		DNR's Direct and Necessary Costs	(~\$44,022 total) pay for activities that		\$44,022
			are directly related to and necessary for accomplishing appropriated		
			programs/projects. Direct and		
			necessary costs cover HR Support		
			(~\$7,438), Safety Support (~\$1,499),		
			Financial Support (~\$6,990),		
			Communication Support (~\$7,244), IT		
			Support (~\$16,772), and Planning		
			Support (~\$4,079) that are necessary		

	to accomplishing funded programs/projects.			
			Sub Total	\$44,022
			Grand Total	\$620,000

#### Classified Staff or Generally Ineligible Expenses

Category/Name	Subcategory or Type	Description	Justification Ineligible Expense or Classified Staff Request
Personnel - Laborers & Seasonal crews		On the ground project implementation	<b>Classified :</b> Consistent with approved work plans for previous ENRTF appropriations for the SNA program, this funding will be used to pay project-associated costs for classified and unclassified staff paid almost exclusively with special project funds. These positions would not exist, but for special project funding received through the ENRTF and other funds. Each year these positions are assigned work based on the particular combination of soft funding available to address priority SNA Program activities.
Personnel - Specialists & Technicians		On the ground project implementation	<b>Classified :</b> Consistent with approved work plans for previous ENRTF appropriations for the SNA program, this funding will be used to pay project-associated costs for classified and unclassified staff paid almost exclusively with special project funds. These positions would not exist, but for special project funding received through the ENRTF and other funds. Each year these positions are assigned work based on the particular combination of soft funding available to address priority SNA Program activities.
<b>Personnel</b> - Management Coordinator and Prairie Biologist		Project management and budget implementation, contract execution, partner coordination	<b>Classified :</b> Consistent with approved work plans for previous ENRTF appropriations for the SNA program, this funding will be used to pay project-associated costs for classified and unclassified staff paid almost exclusively with special project funds. These positions would not exist, but for special project funding received through the ENRTF and other funds. Each year these positions are assigned work based on the particular combination of soft funding available to address priority SNA Program activities.

#### Non ENRTF Funds

Category	Specific Source	Use	Status	\$ Amount
State				
			State Sub	-
			Total	
Non-State				
			Non State	-
			Sub Total	
			Funds	-
			Total	

#### Acquisition and Restoration

#### Parcel List

Name	County	Site Significance	Activity	Acres	Miles	Estimated Cost	Type of Landowner	Easement or Title Holder	Status of Work
Native Prairie Banks Statewide		Native prairie and supporting habitats	Restoration	380	-	-	Private	DNR-Division of Ecological and Water Resources	Has Not Begun
Totals				380	0	-			

#### Restoration

1. Provide a statement confirming that all restoration activities completed with these funds will occur on land permanently protected by a conservation easement or public ownership.

All enhancement activities done with this appropriation are on DNR-owned perpetual Native Prairie Bank conservation easements administered by the SNA Program.

# 2. Summarize the components and expected outcomes of restoration and management plans for the parcels to be restored by your organization, how these plans are kept on file by your organization, and overall strategies for long-term plan implementation.

Each enhancement project will be based upon a Native Prairie Stewardship Plan (ecological restoration and management plan) and/or project plan with goals for enhancing the native prairie and supporting habitats. Each plan includes an implementation timetable which summarizes ongoing management needs. Each restoration and management plan and project plan is kept by the landowner and in a DNR internal shared electronic filing system accessible to all SNA staff.

3. Describe how restoration efforts will utilize and follow the Board of Soil and Water Resources "Native Vegetation Establishment and Enhancement Guidelines" in order to ensure ecological integrity and pollinator enhancement. The SNA Program follows or exceeds the recommendations found in the BWSR "Native Vegetation Establishment and Enhancement Guidelines". NPB restorations typically follow the current BWSR Guidelines, including Project Guidance, Plant Community Restoration, and Temporary Cover. The SNA Program follows the general direction of the BWSR Guidelines for "Recommended Steps for Obtaining Plant Materials", and has additional and more restrictive requirements for restoration material sources. Restoration and management of DNR lands are governed by DNR Operational Order #124 on "Plant Material Standards for Native Plant Community Restoration" and DNR Operational Order #130 on "Pollinator Habitat" (which include BMPs).

# 4. Describe how the long-term maintenance and management needs of the parcel being restored with these funds will be met and financed into the future.

Long-term management costs (e.g. invasive species treatments, prescribed fire, and monitoring/evaluation) will be covered by a combination funding sources, including, but not limited to, the landowner, ENRTF, Outdoor Heritage Fund (OHF), federal grants, and other funds as appropriated.

# 5. Describe how consideration will be given to contracting with Conservation Corps of Minnesota for any restoration activities.

DNR has a standing general contract with CCMI under which the SNA Program often does project or activity specific agreements. For enhancement projects, CCMI has been and will continue to be used when appropriate for woody and invasive species control and prescribed burning on NPBs.

# 6. Provide a statement indicating that evaluations will be completed on parcels where activities were implemented both 1) initially after activity completion and 2) three years later as a follow-up. Evaluations should analyze improvements to the parcel and whether goals have been met, identify any problems with the implementation, and identify any findings that can be used to improve implementation of future restoration efforts at the site or elsewhere.

There is no reconstruction requested in this proposal, just enhancement activities (woody and invasive control, prescribed fire or alternative prescribed disturbance). When NPB does do restoration projects utilizing ENRTF they are evaluated, and a report submitted to the LCCMR to ascertain how well the native plants have been established and non-natives controlled. The evaluation reports include a summary of management plan outcomes, activities completed through the project, map of the project area, time-meander plant surveys, land use cover assessments, %PNV vs CISA graphs, a statement of ongoing management needs and funding, and a summary of the evaluation.

#### Attachments

#### **Required Attachments**

*Map* File: <u>155e2380-d01.pdf</u>

#### Alternate Text for Map

Enhancement activities (prescribed fire, invasive control, woody removal, etc.) will be completed on permanently protected Native Prairie Bank easements. The attached map shows Native Prairie Bank easement locations across the prairie region of Minnesota....

#### **Optional Attachments**

#### Support Letter or Other

Title	File
Background Check	b5b1188e-1c2.pdf
Native Prairie Bank Enhancement Map	<u>7623700e-77b.pdf</u>

#### Difference between Proposal and Work Plan

#### Describe changes from Proposal to Work Plan Stage

To adjust the budget from the proposed \$650,000 to the recommended \$620,000 the monitoring outcomes were reduced from 45 sites to 35 sites. This resulted in a \$5,000 decrease in salary, a \$23,000 decrease in contracting, a \$1,500 decrease in travel, a \$466 decrease in direct and necessary and a \$34 decrease in printing. \*\*Enhancement map was re-uploaded and restoration list notes edited to better include details regarding site selection.

#### Additional Acknowledgements and Conditions:

The following are acknowledgements and conditions beyond those already included in the above workplan:

Do you understand and acknowledge the ENRTF repayment requirements if the use of capital equipment changes? N/A

Do you agree travel expenses must follow the "Commissioner's Plan" promulgated by the Commissioner of Management of Budget or, for University of Minnesota projects, the University of Minnesota plan? Yes, I agree to the Commissioner's Plan.

- Does your project have potential for royalties, copyrights, patents, or sale of products and assets? No
- Do you understand and acknowledge IP and revenue-return and sharing requirements in 116P.10? N/A
- Do you wish to request reinvestment of any revenues into your project instead of returning revenue to the ENRTF? N/A
- Does your project include original, hypothesis-driven research?
- Does the organization have a fiscal agent for this project?

No