

# Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund (ENRTF) M.L. 2016 Work Plan

Date of Report: December 4, 2015

Date of Next Status Update Report: February 1, 2017

**Date of Work Plan Approval:** 

Project Completion Date: June 30, 2019

Does this submission include an amendment request? No

PROJECT TITLE: Assessing Technique for Eliminating Contaminants to Protect Native Fish and Mussels

Project Manager: Kristine Wammer

**Organization:** University of St. Thomas

Mailing Address: Department of Chemistry, OSS 402, 2115 Summit Avenue

City/State/Zip Code: St. Paul, MN 55105

**Telephone Number:** (651) 962-5574

Email Address: khwammer@stthomas.edu
Web Address: http://www.stthomas.edu

**Location:** Statewide

Total ENRTF Project Budget: ENRTF Appropriation: \$287,000

Amount Spent: \$0

Balance: \$287,000

**Legal Citation:** M.L. 2016, Chp. xx, Sec. xx, Subd. xx

**Appropriation Language:** 

Page 1 of 10 02/16/2016 Subd. 04d - DRAFT

### **II. PROJECT STATEMENT:**

In 2009 the MPCA was directed by the legislature to monitor surface waters for endocrine disrupting compounds (EDCs) in the vicinity of at least 20 wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs); in the resultant study tonalide and galaxolide were detected in 84% and 96% of effluent samples respectively, as well as in many sediments downstream from WWTPs (30-60%). This project will determine whether (a) UV disinfection would effectively remove these contaminants prior to discharge into surface waters and (b) whether the products formed when the contaminants break down would still be of concern.

Although tonalide and galaxolide are among the most commonly detected contaminants of emerging concern (CECs) in Minnesota WWTP effluents, the effects of these high production volume chemicals and their byproducts on the quality of Minnesota drinking water and aquatic life remain largely unknown. Municipalities in various locations are considering costly modifications of existing wastewater treatment processes to enhance removal of such CECs to protect surface waters, many of which serve as sources of drinking water, without adequate understanding of whether such treatments are effective and/or necessary. UV treatment is commonly considered because it can be used simultaneously to improve chemical removal and disinfect wastewater.

Both contaminants to be studied have worldwide production volumes of over 6,000 tons per year and account for 90% of the US market for polycyclic musks, which are used as synthetic fragrances in a wide range of products. Musks can impair transporters involved in the first line of defense against toxicants, known as MXR/PXR defenses. These transporters are involved in substrate translocation across membranes and mediate cellular efflux of a variety of organic chemicals. If detoxification ability is impaired, organisms cannot effectively eliminate other toxic chemicals found in MN waters - this has been demonstrated in mussels. This is of great concern as 25 of Minnesota's 48 native mussel species are listed as endangered, threatened, or of special concern. Tonalide and galaxolide are also known to induce other types of toxicity (e.g. liver damage, DNA/genetic damage) and are suspected EDCs, meaning they can disrupt hormones and impair growth and reproduction, and are thus a potential threat to mussel and fish populations.

This project will assess whether UV treatment of wastewater will effectively remove toxicity attributable to these common wastewater contaminants, including assessing toxicity of products formed during UV treatment. UV treatment can be effective at reducing tonalide concentrations in effluent, but galaxolide is tougher to break down. It is of particular concern that most galaxolide degradation products have been classified as very persistent and/or toxic. Therefore, there is an urgent need to further our understanding of what is formed when these contaminants are broken down by UV light as it is very possible these UV products could also be an important unknown source of toxicity for endangered native mussels and fish in MN waters. This work will provide valuable insight into the ability of UV treatment to mitigate contribution of these contaminants to toxicity of wastewaters, in addition to identifying contaminants and products of particular interest for monitoring and further study and enabling municipalities to make better informed decisions about the need for treatment upgrades.

# III. OVERALL PROJECT STATUS UPDATES: Project Status as of February 1, 2017: Project Status as of August 1, 2017: Project Status as of February 1, 2018: Project Status as of August 1, 2018: Project Status as of February 1, 2019: Overall Project Outcomes and Results:

### **IV. PROJECT ACTIVITIES AND OUTCOMES:**

## ACTIVITY 1: Quantify removal of contaminants by UV treatment and measure toxicity and endocrine disrupting activity

### **Description:**

Tonalide and galaxolide photolysis rates, quantum yields and extent of removal with UV treatment will be quantified in the laboratory to enable estimation of transformation efficiency during wastewater treatment. Mixtures of UV degradation products will be generated for toxicity testing, with a focus on adverse effects on fish and mussels. In situations where chemicals and their photoproducts are present in complex mixtures, biological analyses that can quantify total toxicological activity without knowledge of specific chemical composition can be used to streamline identification of chemicals/fractions responsible for the observed biological activity. The following battery of *in vitro* assays will be used to determine whether UV exposure can reduce/eliminate toxicity of galaxolide and tonalide by screening parent compounds and photoproduct mixtures/fractions:

- Detoxification assays test for impairment of organism's ability to eliminate contaminants
  - If MXR toxicity is detected, we will conduct native fish and mussel tests to determine whether exposure to musks/their UV products can increase toxicity of common contaminants that normally co-occur in WWTP effluents with musks.
- Endocrine toxicity assays test for disruption of reproductive hormones (e.g., testosterone, estrogen)
  - If endocrine cell toxicity is detected, we will conduct 48 h exposure of adult fathead minnows (an excellent model for MN natives) to the musks/photoproducts of interest and evaluate effects on expression of genes involved in endocrine function
- General toxicity assays a series of widely recognized tests indicative of toxicity used for human and ecological hazard evaluation will be measured. Parent compounds and their photoproducts will be analyzed for approximately 90 different toxicity endpoints (including carcinogenesis, DNA damage, endocrine disruption, neurotoxicity etc.) using commercially available, cutting-edge techniques where living cells/proteins are exposed to water samples and screened for changes in biological activity that are indicative of toxic effects. If resources allow, other toxic pathways of interest (especially those that are initiated at environmentally relevant concentrations) indicated by general toxicity assays will be evaluated.

If biological assays suggest that some of these UV degradation products are toxic they will be further characterized primarily via liquid chromatography coupled with Time-of-Flight mass spectrometry; see Activity 2 for a more detailed description of the analytical methods. If possible, active products will be isolated or, if available, purchased for individual compound toxicity testing.

Summary Budget Information for Activity 1: ENRTF Budget: \$200,000

Amount Spent: \$0

Balance: \$ 200,000

Outcome	<b>Completion Date</b>
1. Measure photolysis rates and quantum yields of tonalide and galaxolide under UV	December 2017
light.	
2. Perform biological screening tests and, where appropriate, follow-up fish and mussel	January 2019
studies to determine if UV treatment can minimize toxicity to native fish and mussels.	
3. Identify toxic products formed during UV treatment	June 2019

Activity Status as of February 1, 2017:

Activity Status as of August 1, 2017:

Activity Status as of February 1, 2018:

Activity Status as of August 1, 2018:

Activity Status as of February 1, 2019:

**Final Report Summary:** 

### ACTIVITY 2: Quantify contaminants and their UV products in municipal wastewater

### **Description:**

Effluents from wastewater treatment plants with and without UV disinfection will be analyzed for the presence of the two target contaminants and the products formed when they undergo degradation by UV light. Professor Stoll's laboratory at Gustavus is equipped with state-of-the-art equipment that enables: 1) separation of a complex sample such as WWTP effluent and 2) identification of unknown compounds and quantitation of compounds of interest. This instrumentation will be especially useful to this project because it enables the identification of most products formed after UV treatment, and the measurement of very low concentrations of target compounds and products (akin to finding the 'needle in the haystack'). The Stoll group has extensive experience developing analyses for complex matrices.

In the first phase aimed at detection of the target musks and their known UV photoproducts, we will use online Solid-Phase Extraction (SPE) coupled with two-dimensional high performance liquid chromatography (2D-LC) with mass spectrometric detection. The high resolving power of 2D-LC will be especially valuable to the quantitation of photoproducts for which stable isotope labeled internal standards are not available, because of the mitigation of matrix effects that results from higher resolution of the sample constituents. This approach will also be used in the final phase of the work aimed at quantitation of photoproducts in WWTP effluent that have demonstrated endocrine activity or toxicity in the course of this project.

For the identification of additional UV photoproducts in WWTP effluent we will use comprehensive 2D-LC coupled with Time-of-Flight mass spectrometry to establish putative identities of the photoproducts using accurate mass measurements. These identities will be verified through retention time matching between authentic standards and the peaks observed in the mixture of transformation products.

Summary Budget Information for Activity 2: ENRTF Budget: \$ 87,000

Amount Spent: \$ 0 Balance: \$ 87,000

Outcome	<b>Completion Date</b>
1. Determine concentrations of target compounds and known UV products in at least six	June 2017
WWTP effluents and WWTP-impacted sites at least twice per year. Sites will be chosen	
to overlap significantly with those being studied in existing LCCMR-funded mussel-	
related work (e.g. Minnesota River basin, St. Croix River); the PI of the other study	
(Kozarek) will share sampling plans and field site locations.	
2. Identify additional UV degradation products in WWTP effluents based on major	June 2018
products observed during laboratory UV treatment studies.	
<b>3.</b> Measure concentrations of products determined to have endocrine activity or toxicity	June 2019
based on work carried out during this project.	

**Activity Status as of February 1, 2017:** 

Activity Status as of August 1, 2017:
Activity Status as of February 1, 2018:
Activity Status as of August 1, 2018:
Activity Status as of February 1, 2019:
Final Report Summary:

### **V. DISSEMINATION:**

**Description:** The results of this study will be disseminated through oral and poster presentations by the students and faculty involved in the project, briefings to the LCCMR as requested, and peer-reviewed publication. We also intend to present progress on this project periodically to relevant personnel working on related ENRTF projects who have been made aware of this project and may be interested in the results.

St	tatus	as	of	Fe	brua	iry	1,	201	7:
----	-------	----	----	----	------	-----	----	-----	----

Status as of August 1, 2017:

Status as of February 1, 2018:

Status as of August 1, 2018:

Status as of February 1, 2019:

**Final Report Summary:** 

### **VI. PROJECT BUDGET SUMMARY:**

### A. ENRTF Budget Overview:

Budget Category	\$ Amount	Overview Explanation
Personnel:	\$ 92,986	Project manager 1 month of salary first two
		years, 0.5 month third year (\$20,866); project
		partner 1 month of salary each year (\$28,003);
		two undergraduate students full time in
		summer and an average of 7.5 hours per week
		during the academic year (\$44,117).
Professional/Technical/Service Contracts:	\$ 120,000	1 contract with Gustavus Adolphus College for
		assistance with identification of products and
		measurements of concentrations in WWTP
		effluents (\$87,000); 1 contract with University
		of Minnesota Crookston for method
		development related to molecular/physiological
		endpoints for fish and mussel studies (\$33,000).
Equipment/Tools/Supplies:	\$ 61,429	Sample prep supplies (\$3,500), cells and
		supplies (\$4,400), general toxicity tests
		(\$27,000), supplies for in vivo assays (\$6,500),
		supplies for mussel assays (\$4,600), general
		supplies for biological studies (\$2,800), fish and

		mussels and supplies (\$2,500), photolysis and chromatography supplies (\$9,769), shipping costs (\$360).
Capital Expenditures over \$5,000:	\$ 11,085	1 LuzChem UV photoreactor instrument.
Travel Expenses in MN:	\$ 1,500	Mileage for obtaining WWTP effluent samples.
TOTAL ENRTF BUDGET:	\$ 287,000	

Explanation of Use of Classified Staff: N/A

**Explanation of Capital Expenditures Greater Than \$5,000**: One LuzChem UV photoreactor is being purchased and will continue to be used by the University of St. Thomas for the life of the instrument for similar projects and purposes. If the instrument is sold prior to the end of its useful life, proceeds from the sale will be paid back to the Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund.

Number of Full-time Equivalents (FTE) Directly Funded with this ENRTF Appropriation: 2.5

Number of Full-time Equivalents (FTE) Estimated to Be Funded through Contracts with this ENRTF Appropriation: 2.0

### **B. Other Funds:**

Source of Funds	\$ Amount Proposed	\$ Amount Spent	Use of Other Funds
Non-state			
In-Kind Support	\$ 71,200	\$	Indirect costs (waived)
TOTAL OTHER FUNDS:	\$ 71,200	\$	

### VII. PROJECT STRATEGY:

### A. Project Partners:

Project partners receiving funds:

- Kristine Wammer and Dalma Martinovic-Weigelt, University of St. Thomas: \$167,000 to measure UV photolysis rates, generate product mixtures for activity assay testing, isolate suspected active products (Wammer) and to lead work on toxicity assays and fish and mussel studies (Martinovic-Weigelt) (Activity 1).
- Anthony Schroeder, University of Minnesota Crookston: \$33,000 to develop molecular/physiological endpoints for fish and mussel studies (Activity 1).
- Dwight Stoll, Gustavus Adolphus College: \$87,000 to assist with identification of products (Activity 1) and measure concentrations in WWTP effluents (Activity 2).

All project partners will supervise students.

**B. Project Impact and Long-term Strategy:** In addition to disseminating our work through peer-reviewed scientific publications and presentations, we will communicate and work with the PI of an existing ENRTF mussel study and MPCA personnel involved in WWTP effluent survey work as appropriate during the project. If warranted by our findings, we will collaborate with WWTPs statewide to introduce appropriate UV technologies that will facilitate removal of the toxic contaminants and be protective of fish and mussel health.

C. Funding History: N/A

VIII. FEE TITLE ACQUISITION/CONSERVATION EASEMENT/RESTORATION REQUIREMENTS:

A. Parcel List: N/A

- **B. Acquisition/Restoration Information:** N/A
- **IX. VISUAL COMPONENT or MAP(S):** See attached graphic.
- X. RESEARCH ADDENDUM: See attached Research Addendum.

### **XI. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS:**


Periodic work plan status update reports will be submitted no later than February 1, 2017; August 1, 2017; February 1, 2018; August 1, 2018; and February 1, 2019. A final report and associated products will be submitted between June 30 and August 15, 2019.

### **Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund** M.L. 2016 Project Budget

Project Title: Assessing Technique for Eliminating Contaminants to Protect Native Fish and Mussels

Legal Citation: M.L. 2016, Chp. xx, Sub. xx Project Manager: Kristine H. Wammer Organization: University of St. Thomas **M.L. 2016 ENRTF Appropriation:** \$287,000

Project Length and Completion Date: 3 Years, June 30, 2019



(\$28,003). Undergraduate students. 2 students during the academic year (one 5 hours per week, one 10 hours per week), 2 students each summer (2.0 FTE total). 95% salary, 5% fringe benefits (\$44,117).						
Professional/Technical/Service Contracts						
Gustavus Adolphus College. Dwight Stoll, Project Partner. 0.5 month of salary per year for first two years, 0.33 month salary for third year (\$10,084, 86% salary, 14% fringe benefits, 0.1 FTE total). Supervise Gustavus undergraduate students and reseach technician. Research technician 10 hours per week in years 1 and 2, 7 hours per week in year 3 (\$42,100, 75% salary, 25% fringe benefits, 0.7 FTE total). 1 student during the first two summers (\$11,427, 80% salary, 12% housing, 8% fringe benefits). 1 student during the academic year, 8 hours per week for 15 weeks, (\$3,856, 100% salary, 0.7 FTE total). General lab supplies, e.g. solvents, vials, analytical standards (\$7,483). LC/MS instrument access (\$11,000). Travel (meetings with other groups, some sampling) (\$1,050).		\$87,000	\$0	\$87,000	\$87,000	\$87,000

Page 8 of 10 02/16/2016 Subd. 04d - DRAFT



University of Minnesota – Crookston. Anthony Schroeder, Project Partner. 0.5 month of salary per year for first two years, 1 month of salary for third year (13,333, 100% salary, 0.2 FTE total). Supervise undergraduate student. One student during the first and second academic years, 8 hours per week for 16 weeks, (\$5,376, 100% salary). One student during the first summer (\$4,200, 100% salary). (0.4 student FTE total.) Lab supplies, e.g. disposable plastics, primers, Sybr green mastermix, RNA extraction kits, enzyme assays, hormone assays (\$10,091).	\$33,000	\$0	\$33,000				\$33,000	\$33,000
Equipment/Tools/Supplies Sample filtration, extraction and preparation for all analyses -	\$61,429	\$0	\$61,429				\$61,429	\$61,429
20 samples @ \$175/sample (\$3,500). Cells/supplies, media, standards for endocrine in vitro asessments - 20 samples @ \$220/sample (\$4,400). 90 general toxicity tests - parent/UV degradation compounds - 10 samples/ \$30/sample/test (\$27,000). Reagent supplies for in vivo molecular/physiological assessment (enzyme/hormone/ gene assays) - 45 (\$6,500). Reagents and disposables for mussel MXR defense assays and nutrient chemistry (\$4,600). Miscellaneous lab supplies (pipette tips, culture plates, tubing, sterile syringes/containers, assay plates (\$2,800). Fish and mussels, holding supplies, and food (\$2,500). General photolysis and chromatography supplies (e.g. columns, quartz tubes, reagents, solvents) (\$9,769). Shipping costs to send samples between institutions for analysis (\$360).	<b>401,123</b>		<b>\$</b> 0.1, 120				<b>\$</b> 01,120	<b>\$61,120</b>
Capital Expenditures Over \$5,000								
LuzChem UV photoreactor instrument	\$11,085	\$0	\$11,085				\$11,085	\$11,085
Travel expenses in Minnesota								
Mileage for obtaining WWTP effluent samples (6 treatment plants, at least twice per year; most sites in MN River Basin or St. Croix River)	\$1,500	\$0	\$1,500				\$1,500	\$1,500
COLUMN TOTAL	\$200,000	\$0	\$200,000	\$87,000	\$0	\$87,000	\$287,000	\$287,000

Page 9 of 10 02/16/2016 Subd. 04d - DRAFT

### PROJECT TITLE: Assessing Technique for Eliminating Contaminants to Protect Native Fish and Mussels

### **Lab studies**



Expose contaminants to UV light, measure how fast they are broken down, generate products for toxicity testing

General toxicity cell assays

MXR/PXR cell assays





**Endocrine cell assays** 




If toxic then

Native mussel/fish assays for contaminant defense toxicity

Fathead minnow assays for fish health and reproduction toxicity

### **Wastewater analysis**





Products containing contaminants (galaxolide and tonalide)

Wastewater treatment plants with and without UV disinfection



Measure contaminants and UV breakdown products in municipal wastewater