

2009, 2010). Currently, no data exist to support the direct adverse effects of ambient temperature on the physiology, survival, or reproduction of free-ranging moose, in part, due to the limitations of technology. However, recently, a minimally invasive telemetry system for ruminants has been developed to allow nearly continuous monitoring of body temperature with a battery lifetime of ≥ 2 years (Signer et al. 2010). Using this mortality implant transmitter (MIT) and global positioning system (GPS) collars on adult moose will allow examination of ambient temperature relative to adult physiology, behavior, and fitness (condition, survival, and reproduction). We believe this study will yield the first data directly addressing the potential role of ambient temperature in the decline of the NE moose population.

HYPOTHESES

Our null hypotheses (H_0) are:

- 1) Seasonal ambient temperatures have no measurable effect on the internal body temperature of adult female moose.
- 2) Seasonal ambient temperatures have no effect on the activity (e.g., foraging, bedding, movement rates) of adult female moose.
- 3) Seasonal ambient temperatures have no effect on habitat use (e.g., forage openings, dense thermal cover, aquatic habitat) by adult female moose.
- 4) Ambient temperature has no effect on calf production (fertility [pregnancy rates], fecundity) of adult female moose.
- 5) Ambient temperature has no effect on birth-weights of moose calves.
- 6) Ambient temperatures have no effect on survival of adult moose or calves.

Alternatively, ambient temperatures may have effects on one or more of these dependent variables, and certainly there may be various biologically significant interactions between these variables (e.g., body temperature relative to habitat use, activity), such as resting in dense cover or foraging in the open, and ambient temperature (Figure 1).

Upon fitting adult female moose with Iridium GPS collars (with external ambient temperature sensors) and MITs, our objectives are to:

- 1) Assess their winter physical condition and reproductive status at capture.
- 2) Simultaneously monitor ambient temperature and body temperature year-round.
- 3) Monitor their diurnal and nocturnal activity (e.g., resting, moving, foraging), movement rates, and use of habitat.
- 4) Monitor fertility (i.e., calving activity) of adult female moose during the spring calving interval.
- 5) Determine calf production and fecundity (i.e., singletons versus twins) of collared females.
- 6) GPS-collar 50 newborn calves of collared and implanted (MIT) adult females.
- 7) As part of our ongoing studies, we will continue to monitor the survival and determine the specific causes of mortality (and contributing factors) of all collared adults and calves.

Additionally, we will use these data to test the following predictions based on findings from Lenarz et al. (2009, 2010):

- Overall annual survival of moose in the NE MN population is lower than the average reported for moose elsewhere in their continental range.
- Non-anthropogenic mortality is having a greater impact on the overall annual survival of moose than human-related causes.
- Seasonal mortality rates of adult moose will be highest in late-winter and early-spring, but consistent with Lenarz et al. (2009) they will be higher than expected (i.e., compared to reports elsewhere in continental moose range) during non-winter months.
- Annual mortality rates will be consistent with regression predictions (Lenarz et al. 2009) of higher mortality rates (likely health- or nutrition-related) associated with higher winter and other seasonal temperatures.
- Non-anthropogenic mortality rates of adult moose will be affected by age.

METHODOLOGY

Study Area

Our 6,068-km² study area is located between 47°06' N and 47°58'N latitude and 90°04'W and 92°17'W in NE MN (Figure 2). The study area is classified as the Northern Superior Upland region (MNDNR 2007) and is characterized by a variety of wetlands, including bogs, swamps, lakes, and streams; lowland conifers, including northern white cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*), black spruce (*Picea mariana*), and tamarack (*Larix laricina*); and upland conifers, including balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*), jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*), white pine (*P. strobes*), and red pine (*P. resinosa*). Trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) and white birch (*Betula papyrifera*) occur on the uplands, often intermixed with conifers. Potential predators of moose include gray wolves (*Canis lupus*) and black bears (*Ursus americanus*) (Fritts and Mech 1981, Erb and Sampson 2013, Lenarz et al. 2009; Garshelis and Noyce 2011, Patterson et al. 2013). White-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) share most of the study area with moose; their pre-fawning densities are managed at <10 deer per square mile (MNDNR 2011).

Limited annual moose hunting has occurred within the study area, with tags issued to State and Tribal hunters; however, the State and Tribal harvests were suspended indefinitely in 2013. Approximate moose densities within the study area have been estimated at 0.02–0.08 moose/km² (MNDNR, unpublished data).

Weather data (e.g., temperature, precipitation, dew point, and humidity) are recorded daily at National Weather Service weather stations within the study area, including Ely, Grand Marais, Isabella, and Silver Bay. Additionally, ambient temperature loggers will be placed in 6-8 open sites within the study area.

Moose Capture and Handling

Adults

In February 2015, we will capture 30 moose (22 females, 8 males) by aerial darting with 0.3 mg/kg thiafentanil (A-3080©) from a helicopter (Quicksilver Air, Inc., Fairbanks, AK); immobilizations will be reversed with 1 mg/kg of naltrexone (Kreeger et al. 2005, Kreeger and

Arnemo 2012). Blood (serum and whole blood) will be collected at capture by venipuncture of the jugular vein. Serum will be screened for evidence of moose exposure to 10 disease agents following the protocol described by Butler et al. (2013b). Additionally, serum will be analyzed for chemistries and metabolic and reproductive hormones (i.e., progesterone) to assess physiological status, overall health, and pregnancy status (Franzmann and LeResche 1978, Haig et al. 1982, Duncan et al. 1994). Whole blood in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) will be used to make blood smears, and complete and differential blood cell counts will be performed, which may be indicative of condition and health status (Duncan et al. 1994), presence of tick-borne illnesses, and evaluation for the presence of microfilaria. We will remove an incisor for aging by cementum annuli (Sergeant and Pimlott 1959). A general fecal floatation examination for parasites will be performed, as well as a culture for *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*. We will measure maximum rump fat thickness (Maxfat, cm) by ultrasound to assess body condition and nutritional status (Cook et al. 2010, DelGiudice et al. 2011). A thorough physical examination will be performed, including assessment of winter tick load and hair loss. We will measure total body length, chest girth, and hind leg length (cm) (Franzmann et al. 1978), use them to estimate body weight of moose and to standardize estimates of ingesta-free body fat (IFBF) from Maxfat (Stephenson et al. 1998, Cook et al. 2010).

We will fit moose with Iridium Global Positioning System (GPS) radiocollars (Vectronic Aerospace, Berlin, Germany). Collars will be programmed to obtain a location every 4 hours with an increased location frequency (hourly) during the May calving period. Battery life of 3-4 years is expected. Collars include a mortality signal triggered by a motion-sensitive switch. In turn, the mortality signal will trigger a text message to alert the research team of the mortality and carcass (i.e., collar) location (GPS coordinates). A program also was developed to analyze locations, and if they are within a 20-m radius, a "localization notification" is generated. This is useful in detecting sick animals that are potentially moribund. Mortality implant transmitters (MITs, Vectronic Aerospace, Berlin, Germany) will be placed orally into the reticulum of all captured moose. These devices will record internal temperatures every 15 minutes and transmit a subset of the data to the collar. Additionally, MITs are designed to provide immediate notification of mortality via detection of minimal internal activity (e.g., lack of a heart beat), and this notification also is made via text message to our response team. A temperature logger (Hobo TibdbiTv2) will be affixed to each GPS collar and programmed to collect ambient temperature every 60 minutes.

Calves

Beginning 1 May 2015, we will closely monitor the locations and movements of the GPS-collared adult female moose that are pregnant (maximum of 22). This sample of females will include those fitted with GPS collars and MITs during January 2015 and all other surviving adult females that were collared during previous years for a cause-specific mortality study (Butler et al. 2013a). Our primary monitoring objective will be to record when and where individual pregnant females make their "calving move" (Bowyer et al. 1999; McGraw et al., in review). This is a variable but atypical, long-distance move that occurs an estimated 12 hours before calving, after which the dam's movements become very clustered, or localized, for up to 7-10 days. Our previous calf captures have demonstrated this indicator to be highly reliable. We

expect $\geq 80\%$ of moose calving in northeastern Minnesota to occur during the middle 2 weeks of May (Patterson et al. 2013; DelGiudice and Severud, unpublished data; Moen, unpublished data). Use of a helicopter to facilitate calf captures is essential for obtaining an adequate sample size of newborns during the relatively brief moose calving period in remote areas of NE MN. However, ground captures (no helicopter) of 5-10 calves will be conducted during early May and again in late May. These combined with helicopter capture of 30-40 calves during the calving peak, will allow us to learn more about helicopter use as a potential “disturbance factor” relative to dams abandoning their calves after capture. We will begin ground captures (no helicopter) on about 7 May 2015 with a 4- to 5-person team. Once dams have made their calving moves, they typically calve within 12 hours. We will allow an additional 48 hours for bonding between the dam and her calf or calves for an estimated minimum total bonding time of 48-60 hours. We have several ongoing monitoring methods for this purpose (Severud et al. 2013). Once monitored females have calved and are allowed this bonding time, the calves will be identified as ready for capture and handling.

After a week of ground captures the helicopter capture crew (Quicksilver Air, Inc., Fairbanks, AK) will capture 30-40 calves. We will provide the capture crew a daily list of collared females (and their recent GPS coordinates) which have been allowed 48-60 hours of bonding time. The capture crew will locate the target dam and her calves from the air and then land some distance away to allow the handler(s) to disembark and approach calves on foot. The experienced helicopter crew will plan the approach and landing to minimize the disturbance as much as reasonably possible. The calf handling protocol will include slipping an expandable Globalstar GPS collar (440 g, programmed to record location fixes hourly, Vectronic Aerospace, Berlin, Germany) over the head; fixing ear-tags; collecting 25 ml of blood by syringe from the jugular vein into 1 EDTA tube for hematology and into 2 serum tubes for laboratory analyses for chemistries, metabolites, electrolytes, and metabolic and reproductive hormones; weighing the calf to the nearest 0.5 kg; recording several morphological measurements (hind leg length, body length, girth, and neck circumference) and a rectal temperature ($^{\circ}$ F); a physical examination to record any noteworthy injuries or abnormalities; and releasing the calf where it was found.

Time expended in attempting to capture a calf for handling will be limited to 6 minutes. During capture of 49 calves during May 2013 all of our study calves were captured without a chase, and all twins (18 sets) were captured, handled, and released together, which helps to limit capture-related abandonment (Keech et al. 2011). We plan to limit the complete handling protocol to 5-6 minutes per calf to minimize separation time from the dam (Keech et al. 2011), and in the case of twins, we will attempt to handle and release both calves together. All captures and handling protocols followed requirements of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee for the University of Minnesota (Protocol 1302-30328A).

Adult and calf location fixes will be transmitted 3-4 and 6 times per day, respectively, to a base station computer. We will have 3 sources of data and information for monitoring the hourly locations and movements of the GPS-collared dams and calves. These include a shared network computer drive with all location coordinates and calculated hourly movement distances of all of the GPS-collared adult moose; the Vetronics website, which allows us to observe the locations (and associated information) overlaid on GoogleEarth maps and aerial imagery at

various scales; and an automated report produced by J. Forester (University of Minnesota, St. Paul), which plots mean hourly distances moved for up to 10 days at a time and GPS coordinates of fixes and paths of movement for the most recent 5 days. This report is updated every 4 hours and provides locations and paths of movement for the past 24 hours overlaid on GoogleEarth coverage, as well as calculations of speed and displacement distance (Severud et al. 2013). Using fixes and hourly distances moved, we will calculate and graph the average hourly distances moved by pregnant females by 3-hour intervals (R. A. Moen and A. McGraw, NRRI, Duluth, MN, personal communication), which will enable us to identify times of the calving move and capture for estimating bonding times and will allow us to closely monitor adults and calves post-release from capture.

Monitoring Survival and Determining Causes of Mortality

Adults

Collared moose will be monitored daily to ensure collars are functioning as designed and transmissions are being received. A team of highly trained responders (e.g., DNR biologists, project collaborators) will be stationed throughout NE Minnesota. An on-call schedule will be developed with a minimum of 3 responders per moose mortality notification; these responders will investigate mortalities within 24 hours of receiving the mortality text message. If a moose is found to be alive, but obviously ill, it will be euthanized via gunshot. Extensive site investigations will occur to determine cause of death and document (i.e., photographs, video) the mortality site. We will make every effort to remove the entire carcass intact and submit it to the Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (VDL) at the University of Minnesota, for a complete necropsy by a board-certified veterinary pathologist. When carcasses cannot be removed intact, we will perform a complete field necropsy guided by an established protocol. Tissue samples from all of the major organs, including the eyes and the entire brain, will be collected and samples will be split between preservation methods (formalin and chilling) and submitted to the VDL as soon as possible for diagnostics. Appropriate samples also will be shipped to project collaborators to test for microfilarial and tick-borne illnesses. Any mortality events that occurred within 2 weeks of the capture date will be censored from the study.

Calves

We will monitor each collared calf daily until mortality or until its collar drops off (designed to be about 400 days). The collars will send a mortality alert notification to our cell phones via text message (i.e., SMS) when mortalities occur. As a backup, we also will use the Vectronic website and GPS Plus X software to check the distance status of calf collars relative to their dam's collars and to determine whether any calf collars are in mortality mode. Each morning all dam and calf groups will be checked and monitored closely throughout the day if separated by more than 100 m.

When we receive a mortality alert or determine a mortality may have occurred, we will dispatch a trained necropsy team to collect the collar and carcass remains to determine the cause of death (Ballard et al. 1979). To avoid possible investigation-induced abandonment, investigations are delayed if the dam is still in the area, especially if she is with a twin. Our primary field objective is to recover the entire carcass and deliver it to the University of

Minnesota's VDL for necropsy. If the carcass cannot be extracted and transported, we will perform a detailed field necropsy. If scavenged, fresh organ and tissue samples will be collected and shipped to the VDL whenever possible (Butler et al. 2011).

Investigation of the site also will include photographs of tracks and scat and collection of scat when identification is uncertain. We will note the presence of puncture wounds on the neck, skull, or hind quarters and claw marks across the body and will take photographs of all wounds. When the hide is present, we will note if it is inverted, which may indicate a bear was feeding on the carcass. We will document the consumption of viscera, the rumen, or its contents. Wolves may chew on ribs and ends of long bones, whereas bears are more likely to cache pieces of the carcass. To determine if the calf was alive or dead when consumed, we will look for subdermal hemorrhaging or sprays of blood on the collar or on broken or matted vegetation. We will take note of the position of the carcass (lateral or sternal) and the distribution of body parts (scattered or near the carcass). An odor of decomposition or many fecal pellets in the area may indicate scavenging versus predation.

If we find a GPS collar without a carcass or other evidence of predation, we will backtrack to the last known locations of the calf and its dam to expand our search. The Iridium collars on adult moose are more accurate than the calf collars, so we will use the cow's locations from the approximate time of death of the calf to look for a kill-site or evidence of the cause of mortality. We will determine a collar to be slipped rather than a possible mortality if the breakaway section was frayed or the bolts holding the breakaway section were loose, coupled with both an absence of blood on the collar and lack of evidence within a 30-m radius of the collar.

Habitat Use by Adult Moose

We will examine behavioral (e.g., movement rates, habitat use, activity) responses of moose to changing air temperatures. Moose home ranges, habitat types (e.g., mixed hardwood, conifer, regeneration, wet bog, and open), and activity bouts (e.g., resting, feeding, and moving) will be calculated and classified similar to methods described by Moen et al. (NRRI, personal communication) to allow for comparative data analyses between studies. Habitat layers, including cover types, disturbance, and remote sensing (LIDAR) will be made available by Moen et al. (NRRI, personal communication).

Data Analyses

Cause-specific mortality rates will be estimated using cumulative incidence functions (CIFs) to account for left-truncation (DeGiudice et al. 2002, Heisey and Patterson 2006). Cox proportional hazard models will be used to examine if covariates measured at the time of capture (e.g., body mass, blood constituents, rump fat) are associated with mortality. Moose with slipped collars or lost signals will be censored from analyses at time of last contact. Linear regression will be used to characterize the relationship between female age and estimated body mass and to model blood characteristics as a function of age and body mass.

We will use a series of regression models to characterize the relationships between open air temperatures, moose-specific ambient temperatures, moose behavioral responses (use of particular habitat types, activity levels), and moose physiological responses (internal body temperature) depicted in Figure 1. The following are sets of independent and dependent variables for each regression model:

1. Dependent variable = Ambient temperature; Independent variables = habitat type (open, water, mixed forest, etc...), open air temperature.
2. Dependent variables = activity level (time spent foraging, bedded, moving), movement rate; Independent variables = open air temperature.
3. Dependent variable = internal body temperature; Independent variables = ambient temperature, activity level (time spent foraging, bedded, moving) and movement rate.

In the first two models, we will also include additional explanatory variables (e.g., time of day, Julian date) to control for background diurnal and seasonal patterns. We will use mixed effects models (Pinheiro and Bates 2000) to account for repeated measures taken on the same individuals and also consider autoregressive error structures for within-individual errors as necessary.

Lastly, we will apply the same methods as Lenarz et al. (2009, 2010) to estimate annual survival rates, non-anthropogenic mortality rates, and to test the predictions outlined in the Hypotheses section regarding relationships between age and ambient temperature versus annual and seasonal survival rates. We will consider examining pregnancy and calf production as a function of habitat use and ambient temperatures using a heat stress index (HSI) calculated according to Lenarz et al. (2009) and moose metabolic thresholds reported by Renecker and Hudson (1986).

We will use discrete choice/multinomial regression models (similar to DeGiudice et al. 2013) to explore use of discrete habitat types as a function of ambient temperature while controlling for diurnal and seasonal patterns. The same types of models will be used to estimate the probability of choosing among a discrete number of activities (bedding/resting, moving, foraging) as a function of ambient temperature, time of day, and season.

RESULTS AND DELIVERABLES

The results of serological screening for diseases; serum analyses for pregnancy testing, chemistry profiles, and metabolic hormones; and complete and differential blood cell counts will contribute to quantifying rates of exposure to diseases, pregnancy rates, and assist with assessment of overall health and physiological status. We will assess these results relative to seasonal and annual survival and cause-specific mortality rates.

Specific causes of death of collared moose (adults and calves) that die during the study period will be determined, contributing to our understanding of the specific role health-related causes and other mortality forces (e.g., undernutrition, predation) are playing in the overall decline of the NE moose population. Once the specific causes of mortality and major influential factors (i.e., nutritional condition, seasonal weather conditions) are identified, appropriate population and habitat management actions may be taken to address the population's decline.

The primary goal of our 3-year study is to thoroughly investigate how ambient temperatures relate to moose productivity, reproductive success, and survival in NE MN by applying an

unprecedented field approach and comprehensive data collection methods. No other study has documented a relationship between ambient temperature, body temperature (measured in free-ranging moose), and other variables which may influence this relationship (e.g., activity, habitat use). However, our study design also will allow us to re-examine and extend survival relationships reported by Lenarz et al. (2009, 2010). After a 6-year study of adult moose in NE MN, Lenarz et al. (2009) documented lower annual survival rates (relative to non-anthropogenic sources of mortality) of moose compared to populations ranging farther north. They also reported several significant inverse relationships between annual and seasonal survival rates and increasing ambient temperatures, and they observed higher mortality rates than expected during non-winter months. Those findings implicated climate change as a potentially significant factor influencing the decline of Minnesota's NE moose population (Lenarz et al. 2009, 2010). The additional survival data generated from our study, increased study period, and re-examinations of relationships between survival and ambient temperatures, coupled with the behavioral data and habitat needs identified by the current moose study of Moen (2009), will provide insight into whether the statistical relationships previously reported are real and ecologically significant, or spurious, perhaps attributable to limited sample sizes and data collection over a relatively brief period of time. Improved understanding of how climate, diseases, parasites, nutrition, and habitat needs may be influencing the population performance of moose will be key to the development of future population and habitat management strategies. Sharing what we conclude from these expanded data analyses and the information synthesized at professional meetings and through publication in peer-reviewed, scientific journals will likely expand the value of the study to other geographic regions, as well as to the scientific study and management of other species.

TIMETABLE

February 2015- Capture and radiocollar 22 female and 8 male adult moose. All moose will receive mortality implant transmitters.

April 2015- Formulate conclusions about the nutritional condition, health, and overall well-being of moose at the start of winter. Receive results on pregnancy status of captured and collared adult females.

May 2015- Capture and radiocollar 50 newborn calves of collared cows.

June 2016- Determine specific causes of mortality of moose that die during the study period; quantify rates of exposure to disease, toxicity, and nutrition deficiency levels; formulate conclusions about how ambient temperatures contribute to specific causes of death.

June 2017- Recover remaining collars and summarize cause-specific mortality of animals that remained in the study beyond 2016.

BUDGET

BUDGET ITEM	AMOUNT
Personnel:	
Wildlife Health Specialist, field data collection, analyze, field necropsies, outreach, 2 years @ 43.5% funding (1 FTE = \$85,000/year)	\$ 74,000
Spring/summer field technicians (2): Full-time 120-day temporary hires to help with the calf capture operations, mortality investigations and necropsies, and habitat fieldwork	\$ 20,000
Calf study fieldwork volunteer: room and board only	\$ 3,000
Fall Field technician (1): Full-time 120-day temporary hire to help with moose calf mortality investigations	\$ 8,200
Contracts:	
Wildlife helicopter capture company: Adult moose capture & handling (30 moose @ \$1,600)	\$ 48,000
Wildlife helicopter capture company: Moose calf capture & handling (40 calves @ \$1,450 ea)	\$ 58,000
Univ. of Minnesota graduate student stipend (2 years) Leads fieldwork for capture operations, investigations of calf mortalities, and calving habitat analyses	\$ 80,000
University of Minnesota biometrician for statistical consulting (2.5 months @ 83% salary and 17% fringe)	\$ 26,795
University of Minnesota, Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory: diagnostic laboratory analyses associated with moose captures and necropsies	\$ 10,000
Iridium satellite adult moose data acquisition: transmission of location, temperature, heart rate data, and mortality messages	\$ 35,000
Globalstar satellite moose calf data acquisition: transmission of location and mortality messages	\$ 15,000
Direct and Necessary Services required to support this appropriation	\$ 20,000
Equipment/Tools/Supplies:	
GPS adult moose collars (25 @ \$3,000/each); collect location data, transmit temperature data and mortality notifications	\$ 75,000
GPS calf collars (50 @ \$1,100/each); collect location data, transmit mortality notifications	\$ 55,000
Mortality Implant Transmitters (MITs, 30 @ \$900/each)	\$ 27,000
Ambient temperature loggers for adult moose collars (30 @ \$150/each)	\$ 4,500
Capture drugs: \$250/moose for 30 moose; immobilization and reversal	\$ 7,500
Travel:	
Travel to study area by adult and calf moose project management staff (fleet @\$0.55/mi, estimated 10,000 miles)	\$ 5,500
Travel to study area by technicians and interns (fleet @\$0.55/mi, estimated 12,725 miles)	\$ 7,000
Additional Budget Items:	
Spotter plane to be used during adult and calf capture efforts (\$205/hour, 100 hours total)	\$ 20,500
TOTAL ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES TRUST FUND \$ REQUEST =	\$ 599,995

CREREDENTIALS

Michael A. Larson, Ph.D. – Project manager

Education

Ph.D. 2001. Wildlife Ecology & Management. *University of Missouri*, Columbia, MO.

M.S. 1998. Wildlife Ecology & Management. *Michigan State Univ.*, East Lansing, MI.

B.A., *Magna Cum Laude*. 1995. Biology. *Gustavus Adolphus College*, St. Peter, MN.

Experience

2012–present. Group Leader, *Forest Wildlife Populations & Research, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources*, Grand Rapids, MN. Manage budgets and 8 permanent staff for MN DNR research and monitoring programs for moose, deer, bears, wolves, other furbearers, & grouse in Minnesota.

2007–present. Adjunct Assistant Professor; Fisheries, Wildlife & Conservation Biology; *University of Minnesota*.

2004–2012. Research Scientist, *Minnesota Department of Natural Resources*. Conducted research studies, coordinated population monitoring surveys, and provided expertise in the ecology and management of Ruffed Grouse, Spruce Grouse, Sharp-tailed Grouse, and Greater Prairie Chickens in Minnesota. Also provided expert consultation to other DNR units on topics related to decision analysis and climate change adaptation.

2003–2004. Ecologist, Quantitative Methods Group, *USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center*, Laurel, MD.

Selected publications

Kouffeld, M. J., M. A. Larson, and R. J. Gutiérrez. 2013. Selection of landscapes by male ruffed grouse during peak abundance. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 77(6):1192–1201.

McGowan, C. P., M. C. Runge, and M. A. Larson. 2011. Incorporating parametric uncertainty into population viability analysis models. *Biological Conservation* 144(5):1400–1408.

Larson, M. A., F. R. Thompson, III, J. J. Millsbaugh, W. D. Dijak, and S. R. Shifley. 2004. Linking population viability, habitat suitability, and landscape simulation models for conservation planning. *Ecological Modelling* 180(1):103–118.

Larson, M. A., M. E. Clark, and S. R. Winterstein. 2003. Survival and habitat of ruffed grouse nests in northern Michigan. *Wilson Bulletin* 115(2):140–147.

Larson, M. A., M. R. Ryan, and R. K. Murphy. 2002. Population viability of piping plovers: effects of predator exclusion. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 66(2):361–371.

Larson, M. A., M. E. Clark, and S. R. Winterstein. 2001. Survival of ruffed grouse chicks in northern Michigan. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 65(4):880–886.

Glenn David DelGiudice, Ph.D. – Principal investigator, moose calf component

Moose Research Project Leader, Forest Wildlife Populations & Research Group, MNDNR, since September 2011, lead moose research, orchestrate the annual aerial moose survey for estimating the northeastern Minnesota population, and monitor the annual harvest to assess population dynamics.

Deer Project Leader, Forest Wildlife Populations and Research Group, MNDNR, since October 1990 conducting long-term, comprehensive studies examining the relationships of winter severity and conifer stands to the winter distribution, movements, food habits, condition, survival, and reproduction of white-tailed deer in northern Minnesota.

Adjunct Associate Professor and member of the graduate faculty since 1992, Wildlife Conservation Program, Department of Fisheries, Wildlife, and Conservation Biology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul.

Education

University of Minnesota, Ph.D., Wildlife Conservation, 1988

University of Arizona, M. S., Renewable Natural Resources, 1982

Cornell University, B. S., Renewable Natural Resources/Biology, 1977

Experience

- | | |
|--------------|--|
| 2011-Present | Lead principal investigator of a study of survival, cause-specific mortality, and intrinsic and extrinsic contributing factors for moose calves in northeastern Minnesota.

Co-principal investigator in a study of the survival, contributing factors and specific causes of mortality, and recruitment of moose in the declining population in northeastern Minnesota. |
| 2007-2011 | Lynx Conservation Plan and Incidental Take Application – lead position in developing the MNDNR’s conservation and incidental take plan for Minnesota (please see MNDNR reports under Publication List below). |
| 2003-2005 | Lead researcher investigating the role of winter condition of moose in the performance of this declining population in northeastern Minnesota. |
| 1988-1997 | Principal investigator with Dr. Rolf O. Peterson, Michigan Technological University, in a 9-year study of winter nutrition of free-ranging moose related to winter severity, habitat differences, and winter tick infestation in Isle Royale National Park. |

Selected Research Publications

DelGiudice, G. D., J. R. Fieberg, and B. A. Sampson. 2013. A long-term assessment of the variability in winter use of dense conifer cover by female white-tailed deer. PLoS ONE 8(6): e65368. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0065368

- DelGiudice, G. D., B. A. Sampson, and J. H. Giudice. 2013. A long-term assessment of the effect of winter severity on the food habits of white-tailed deer. *Journal of Wildlife Management*. 77:1664-1675
- DelGiudice, G. D., B. A. Sampson, M. S. Lenarz, M. W. Schrage, and A. J. Edwards. 2011. Winter body condition of moose (*Alces alces*) in a declining population in northeastern Minnesota. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 47: 30-40.
- Fieberg, J., and G. D. DelGiudice. 2008. Exploring migration data using interval-censored time-to-event models. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 72: 1211-1219.
- DelGiudice, G. D., M. S. Lenarz, and M. Carstensen Powell. 2007. Age-specific fertility and fecundity in northern free-ranging white-tailed deer: evidence for reproductive senescence? *Journal of Mammalogy* 88: 427-435.
- DelGiudice, G. D., J. Fieberg, M. R. Riggs, M. Carstensen Powell, and W. Pan. 2006. A long-term age-specific survival analysis of female white-tailed deer. *Journal Wildlife Management* 70: 1556-1568.
- DelGiudice, G. D., B. A. Sampson, D. W. Kuehn, M. Carstensen Powell, and J. Fieberg. 2005. Understanding margins of safe capture, chemical immobilization, and handling of free-ranging white-tailed deer. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 33: 677-687.
- DelGiudice, G. D., M. R. Riggs, P. Joly, and W. Pan. 2002. Winter severity, survival and cause-specific mortality of female white-tailed deer in north central Minnesota. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 66: 698-717.
- DelGiudice, G. D., R. Moen, F. J. Singer, and M. R. Riggs. 2001. Physiological responses of Yellowstone elk and bison to winter nutritional restriction and simulated body condition before and after the fires of 1988. *Wildlife Monographs* No. 147. 60pp.
- DelGiudice, G. D., K. D. Kerr, L. D. Mech, M. R. Riggs, and U. S. Seal. 1998. Urinary 3-methylhistidine and progressive winter undernutrition in white-tailed deer. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 76: 2090-2095.
- DelGiudice, G. D. 1998. Surplus killing of white-tailed deer by wolves in northcentral Minnesota. *Journal of Mammalogy* 79: 227-235.
- Doenier, P. B., G. D. DelGiudice, and M. R. Riggs. 1997. Effects of winter supplemental feeding on browse consumption by white-tailed deer. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 25: 235-243.
- DelGiudice, G. D., R. O. Peterson, and W. M. Samuel. 1997. Trends of winter nutritional restriction, ticks, and numbers of moose of Isle Royale. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 61: 895-903.
- DelGiudice, G. D. 1995. Assessing winter nutritional restriction of northern deer with urine in snow: considerations, potential and limitations. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 23: 687-693.
- DelGiudice, G. D., U. S. Seal, and L. D. Mech. 1991. Indicators of severe undernutrition in urine of free-ranging elk during winter. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 19: 106-110.

DelGiudice, G. D., L. D. Mech, and U. S. Seal. 1990. Effects of winter undernutrition on body composition and physiological profiles of white-tailed deer. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 54: 539-550.

Michelle Carstensen, Ph.D. – Principal investigator, adult moose component

Education

University of Minnesota, Ph.D. Wildlife Conservation, December 2004.

University of Minnesota, M.S. Wildlife Conservation, January 2002.

Cornell University, B.S. Animal Science, January 1996.

Academic Honors : Cornell Tradition Fellow, Dean's List

Experience

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, *Wildlife Health Program Supervisor*..... 2006-present

Direct the state's wildlife health program to address diseases threatening the state's game species including Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD), bovine tuberculosis (TB), and Newcastle Disease. Direct the work of program staff, including a wildlife veterinarian, a wildlife health specialist, a moose health specialist, and 3 part-time support staff. Design and coordinated research studies on moose, deer, and wolf health. Design surveillance protocols for disease detection and trend analysis. Provide expertise on wildlife disease issues and provided feedback on national policy issues related the management of disease outbreaks in wildlife. Wrote grants, organized spending plans, and managed a program budget of over one million dollars, which included both state and federal funding. Design the state's bovine tuberculosis management program for white-tailed deer and coordinated activities with state and federal partners. Represent the state agency at local, state, national, and international meetings regarding disease surveillance. Designed the state's response plan for an outbreak of HPAI in wild birds and worked with state and federal partners on emergency preparedness. Trained in Incident Command System and served as Planning Chief during two emergency disease outbreaks. Publish scientific papers in peer-reviewed journals.

Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, *NR Specialist-Wildlife Diseases*.....2004-2006

Coordinated the state's Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) surveillance program and provided expertise on wildlife disease issues. Trained agency personnel, veterinary students, and volunteers on tissue extraction and the proper handling of biological samples. Organized equipment, work schedules and field logistics. Created and maintained a database of statewide CWD samples collected from hunter-killed white-tailed deer and tracked test results to provide the public with accurate and timely reports. Analyzed spatial distribution of sampling data and applied statistical tests to ensure an accurate probability of disease detection. Collaborated with wildlife professionals on epidemiological modeling of CWD. Refined the state's CWD contingency plan. Represented the state agency at public meeting and planning session

regarding tuberculosis surveillance. Presented disease surveillance findings at regional scientific meetings.

University of Minnesota, *Graduate Research Assistant*..... 1999-2004

Participated in a Minnesota Department of Natural Resources study investigating the effects of winter severity and deer nutrition on fawning characteristics. Worked closely with state agency personnel, and trained and supervised interns and volunteers. Gained experience in large animal capture and handling techniques, immobilizing drugs, *in vivo* body composition determination using isotope dilution, blood and urine sampling, tooth extraction, and ultrasonography. Experienced in radio-tracking animals from the ground and fixed wing aircraft. Interpreted spatial data using a variety of software programs including XY-Log, LOAS, and ArcView GIS. Experienced in aerial photo interpretation. Performed extensive laboratory analyses including blood lyophilization; fat, nitrogen, and ash extraction of carcass samples; and infrared spectroscopy. Presented research findings at national meetings of wildlife professionals and local meetings of funding organizations. Submitted research findings for national publication to peer-reviewed journals.

Institute for Local Self-Reliance, *Research Associate/Program Manager*..... 1996-2000

Investigated and promoted the use of value-added agricultural products as substitutes for petroleum-based industrial products as a pollution prevention strategy. Provided technical assistance to industrial manufacturers, state agencies, environmental groups, and community organizations through workshops, personal consultations and written materials. Managed program budget and gained experience in grant writing and reporting to funding organizations. Researched and published a series of pollution prevention fact sheets, industry reports, and articles for national trade magazines and peer-reviewed, environmental journals. Organized and coordinated planned events. Gave presentations at local, regional and national meetings and served as an invited speaker at numerous events. Consulted farmer-owned cooperatives on business plan development. Created and managed program website. Served as a judge for the Governor's Award for Pollution Prevention. Appointed to the Listed Metals Advisory Council, created to oversee the state's mandate on reducing the use of heavy metals in specified products.

Professional memberships

Adjunct Assistant Professor, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Minnesota; Chair of the Midwest Fish & Wildlife Health Committee, Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies; Wildlife Disease Association, member of Wildlife Disease Committee; member of the Wildlife Society; member of Dean's Advisory Council, UM-College of Veterinary Medicine; member of Advisory Council for the UM-Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory

Selected publications

White, L. C., H. S. Ip, D. P. Walsh, C. U. Meteyer, J. S. Hall, M. Carstensen, and P. C. Wolf. Spatial and temporal patterns of Newcastle Disease epizootics in double-crested cormorants in the United States. 2013. *Avian Diseases: In review*

- Dubey, J. P., P. Dennis, S. Verma, S. Chaudhary, L. Ferreira, S. Oliveira, O. Kwok, E. Butler, M. Carstensen, and C. Su. 2013. Epidemiology of toxoplasmosis in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*): occurrence, congenital transmission, correlates of infection, isolation, and genetic characterization of *Toxoplasma gondii*. *Veterinary Parasitology: In press*
- Dubey, J. P., M. C. Jenkins, O. C. H. Kwok, L. R. Ferreira, S. Choudhary, S. K. Verma, L. Villena, E. Butler, and M. Carstensen. 2013. Congenital transmission of *Neospora caninum* in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*). *Veterinary Parasitology* 96: 519–522.
- Dubey, J. P., S. Choudhary, L. R. Ferreira, O. C. H. Kwok, E. Butler, M. Carstensen, L. Yu, and C. Su. 2013. Isolation and RFLP genotyping of *Toxoplasma gondii* from the gray wolf (*Canis lupis*). *Veterinary Parasitology* 197: 685–690.
- Carstensen, M. and M. W. DonCarlos. 2011. Preventing the establishing of a wildlife disease reservoir: a case study of bovine tuberculosis in wild deer in Minnesota, USA. *Veterinary Medicine International*, Volume 2011, Article ID 413240, 10 pages, doi:10.4061/2011/413240.
- Carstensen, M. D. J. O'Brien and S. M. Schmitt. 2011. Public acceptance as a determinant of management strategies for bovine tuberculosis in free-ranging U.S. wildlife. *Veterinary Microbiology* 151 (2011): 200–204, doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.02.046
- Carstensen M., G. D. DelGiudice, B. A. Sampson, and D. W. Kuehn. 2009. Survival, birth characteristics, and cause-specific mortality of white-tailed deer neonates. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 73(2): 175–183.
- Dubey, J. P., M. C. Jenkins, O.C.H. Kwok, R. L. Zink, M. L. Michalski, V. Ulrich, J. Jill, M. Carstensen, and P. Thulliez. 2009. Seroprevalence of *Neospora caninum* and *Toxoplasma gondii* antibodies in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) from Iowa and Minnesota using four serologic tests. *Veterinary Parasitology* 161: 330–334.
- Dubey J. P., G. V. Velmurugan, V. Ulrich, J. Gill, M. Carstensen, N. Sundar, O. C. H. Kwok, P. Thulliez, D. Majumdar, and C. Su. 2008. Transplacental toxoplasmosis in naturally-infected white-tailed deer: isolation and genetic characterization of *Toxoplasma gondii* from fetuses of different gestational ages. *International Journal of Parasitology* 38: 1057–1063.
- Carstensen Powell, M., G. D. DelGiudice, and B. A. Sampson. 2005. Low risk of marking-induced abandonment in free-ranging white-tailed neonates. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 33 (2): 643–655.
- Carstensen Powell, M. and G. D. DelGiudice. 2005. Birth, morphological and blood characteristics of free-ranging white-tailed deer neonates. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 41: 171–183.
- Carstensen, M. and G. D. DelGiudice. 2003. Using doe behavior and vaginal implant transmitters to capture neonate white-tailed deer in north central Minnesota. *The Wildlife Society Bulletin* 31: 634–641.

John R. Fieberg, Ph.D. – Statistical consultant

John joined the faculty in the Department of Fisheries, Wildlife, and Conservation Biology at the University of Minnesota in the fall of 2013. Prior to that, he served as the Wildlife Biometrician for the Minnesota DNR for 10 years. He has developed considerable expertise in analyzing wildlife data and has published multiple applied and methodological papers on topics directly related to this study (e.g., wildlife survival analysis, models of habitat selection). He has worked in the past with the other PIs and project collaborators on research pertinent to this proposal, including the 7-year moose telemetry study and the Minnesota moose population surveys that motivated the need for additional moose demographic research.

Education

PhD 2000 North Carolina State University, Biomathematics

MS 1996 University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill, Biostatistics

BA 1993 Westminster College, Mathematics, summa cum laude, May 1993.

Professional experience

2013-present University of Minnesota, Dept. of Fish., Wildl, and Cons. Bio., Assistant Professor.

2009-2013 University of Minnesota, Dept. of Fish., Wildl, and Cons. Bio., Adj. Asst. Prof.

2003-2013 Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, Wildlife Biometrician.

2000-2003 Northwest Indian Fisheries Commission, Biometrician III.

Selected publications (from a total of 56)

Fieberg, J., M. Alexander, S. Tse, and K. St. Clair. 2013. Abundance estimation with sightability data: a Bayesian data augmentation approach. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4:854–864.

Aarts, G, J. Fieberg, S. Brasseur, and J. Matthiopoulos 2013. Quantifying the effect of habitat availability on species distributions. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 82:1135-1145.

Fieberg, J. and M. Ditmer. 2012. Understanding the causes and consequences of animal movement: a cautionary note on fitting and interpreting regression models with time-dependent covariates. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 3:983-991.

Giudice, J., J. Fieberg, and M. Lenarz. 2012. Spending degrees of freedom in a poor economy: a case study of building a sightability model for Moose in northeastern Minnesota. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 76:75-87.

Fieberg, J. R. and M.S. Lenarz. 2012. Comparing stratification schemes for aerial moose surveys. *Alces* 48:79-87.

Aarts, G., J. Fieberg, and J. Matthiopoulos. 2012. Comparative interpretation of count, presence-absence and point methods for species distribution models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 3:177-187.

- Matthiopoulos, J., M. Hebblewhite, G. Aarts, and J. Fieberg. 2011. Generalized functional responses for species distributions. *Ecology* 92:583-589.
- Fieberg, J., J. Matthiopoulos, M. Hebblewhite, M.S. Boyce, J. L. Frair. 2010. Correlation and studies of habitat selection: problem, red herring, or opportunity? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, Series B* 365:2233-2244.
- Fieberg, J., and G. D. DelGiudice. 2009. What time is it? Choice of time origin and scale in extended proportional hazards models. *Ecology* 90:1687-1697.

DISSEMINATION AND USE

Annual research summaries addressing accomplishments to date will be written and available on the MNDNR website. Descriptive reports and articles will be written and submitted for publication in peer-reviewed journals. Also, we will prepare news releases and conduct interviews with the news media. Audiences will include agency staff making decisions about moose conservation, non-governmental organizations involved in moose conservation, other scientists doing similar and subsequent studies, and the general public.

REFERENCES

- Ballard, W. B., J. S. Whitman, and D. J. Reed. 1991. Population dynamics of moose in south-central Alaska. *Wildlife Monographs* No. 114.
- Belovsky, G. E., and P. A. Jordan. 1978. The time-energy budget of a moose. *Theoretical Population Biology* 14:76-104.
- Brown, G. S. 2011. Patterns and causes of demographic variation in a harvested moose population: evidence for the effects of climate and density-dependent drivers. *Journal of Animal Ecology* doi: 10.1111/j.2041-210X.2009.00010.x
- Butler, E. A., M. Carstensen, and G. D. DelGiudice. 2011. Determining causes of death in a declining moose population. Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund, Research Addendum for Peer Review. Project number 009-A1, St. Paul, MN, USA.
- Butler, E. A., M. Carstensen, E. C. Hildebrand, J. Giudice. 2013b. Northeast Minnesota moose herd health assessment 2007-2012. Pages 86-96 in L. Cornicelli, M. Carstensen, M. D. Grund, M. A. Larson, and J. S. Lawrence, editors. Summaries of wildlife research findings, 2012. Wildlife Populations and Research Unit, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, St. Paul, USA.
- Butler, E. A., M. Carstensen, E. C. Hildebrand, and D. C. Pauly. 2013a. Determining causes of death in Minnesota's declining moose population: a progress report. Pages 97-106 in L. Cornicelli, M. Carstensen, M. D. Grund, M. A. Larson, and J. S. Lawrence, editors. Summaries of wildlife research findings, 2012. Wildlife Populations and Research Unit, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, St. Paul, USA.

- Cook, R. C., J. G. Cook, T. R. Stephenson, W. L. Myers, S. M. McCorquodale, D. J. Vales, L. L. Irwin, P. Briggs Hall, R. D. Spencer, S. L. Murphie, K. A. Schoenecker, and P. J. Miller. 2010. Revisions of rump fat and body scoring indices for deer, elk, and moose. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 74:880-896.
- DelGiudice, G. D. 2013. 2013 aerial moose survey. Wildlife Populations and Research Unit, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, St. Paul, USA.
http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/outdoor_activities/hunting/moose/moose_survey_2013.pdf>.
- DelGiudice, G. D., R. O. Peterson, and W. M. Samuel. 1997. Trends of winter nutritional restriction, ticks, and numbers of moose on Isle Royale. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 61:895-903.
- DelGiudice, G. D., M. R. Riggs, P. Joly, and W. Pan. 2002. Winter severity, survival, and cause-specific mortality of female white-tailed deer in north-central Minnesota. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 66:698-717.
- DelGiudice, G. D., B. A. Sampson, M. S. Lenarz, M. W. Schrage, and A. J. Edwards. 2011. Winter body condition of moose (*Alces alces*) in a declining population in northeastern Minnesota. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 47:30-40.
- Duncan, J. R., K. W. Trasse, and E. A. Mahaffey. 1994. *Veterinary laboratory medicine*. Third edition. Iowa State University, Ames, USA.
- Erb, J., and B. A. Sampson. 2013. Distribution and abundance of wolves in Minnesota, 2012-13. Wildlife Populations and Research Unit, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, St. Paul, USA. 16pp.
- Franzmann, A. W., and R. E. LeResche. 1978. Alaskan moose blood studies with emphasis on condition evaluation. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 42:334-351.
- Fritts, S. H., and L. D. Mech. 1981. Dynamics, movements, and feeding ecology of a newly protected wolf population in northwestern Minnesota. *Wildlife Monographs* No. 80.
- Gaillard, J. -M., M. Festa-Bianchet, and N. G. Yoccoz. 1998. Population dynamics of large herbivores: variable recruitment with constant adult survival. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 13:58-63.
- Gaillard, J. -M., M. Festa-Bianchet, N. G. Yoccoz, A. Loison, and C. Toigo. 2000. Temporal variation in fitness components and population dynamics of large herbivores. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 31:367-393.
- Garshelis, D., and K. Noyce. 2011. Status of Minnesota black bears, 2010. Final Report to Bear Committee. Wildlife Populations and Research Unit, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, St. Paul, USA.

- Hahn, G. L. 1999. Dynamic responses of cattle to thermal heat loads. *Journal of Animal Science* 77:10-20.
- Haigh, J. C., E. H. Kowal, W. Runge, and G. Wobeser. 1982. Pregnancy diagnosis as a management tool for moose. *Alces* 18:45-53.
- Heisey, D., and B. R. Patterson. 2006. A review of methods to estimate cause-specific mortality in the presence of competing risks. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 70:1544-1555.
- Houghton, J. T., Y. Ding, D. J. Griggs, N. Noguer, P. J. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell, and C. A. Johnson. 2001. Contribution of working group 1 to the third assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Humphries, M. M., J. Umbanhowar, and K. S. McCann. 2004. Bioenergetic prediction of climate change impacts on northern mammals. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 44:152-162.
- Kelsall, J. P., and E. S. Telfer. 1974. Biogeography of moose with particular reference to western North America. *Le Naturaliste Canadian (Que.)* 1ds01:117-130.
- Keech, M. A., M. S. Lindberg, R. D. Boertje, P. Valkenburg, B. D. Taras, T. A. Boudreau, and K. B. Beckmen. 2011. Effects of predator treatments, individual traits, and environment on moose survival in Alaska. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 75:1361-1380.
- Kreeger, T. J., W. H. Edwards, E. J. Wald, S. A. Becker, D. Brimeyer, G. Fralick, and J Berger. 2005. Health assessment of Shiras moose immobilized with thiafentanil. *Alces* 41: 121-128.
- Kreeger, T. J., and J. M. Arnemo. 2012. Handbook of wildlife chemical immobilization. Fourth edition. Tkreeger3.
- Larsen, D. G., D. A. Gauthier, and R. L. Markel. 1989. Causes and rate of moose mortality in southwest Yukon. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 53:548-557.
- Lenarz, M. S. 2007. 2007 aerial moose survey. Wildlife Populations and Research Unit, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, St. Paul, USA.
<http://files.dnr.state.mn.us/outdoor_activities/hunting/moose/moose_survey_2007.pdf>.
- Lenarz, M. S., J. Fieberg, M. W. Schrage, and A. J. Edwards. 2010. Living on the edge: viability of moose in northeastern Minnesota. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 74:1013-1023
- Lenarz, M. S., M. E. Nelson, M. W. Schrage, and A. J. Edwards. 2009. Temperature mediated moose survival in northeastern Minnesota. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 73:503-510.
- MNDNR (Minnesota Department of Natural Resources). 2007. Ecological classification system. Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, St. Paul, USA.
<<http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/esc/index.html>>.

- MNDNR (Minnesota Department of Natural Resources). 2011. Minnesota moose research and management plan. Division of Fish and Wildlife, St. Paul. USA.
- Modafferi, R. D., and E. F. Becker. 1997. Survival of radio collared adult moose in lower Susitna River valley, south central Alaska. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 61:540-549.
- Morrow-Tesch, J., N. Woolen, and L. Hahn. 1996. Response of gamma delta T-lymphocytes to heat stress in *Bos Taurus* and *Bos indicus* crossbred cattle. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 21:101-108.
- Murray, D. L., E. W. Cox, W. B. Ballard, H. A. Whitlaw, M. S. Lenarz, T. W. Custer, T. Barnett, and T. K. Fuller. 2006. Pathogens, nutritional deficiency, and climate influences on a declining moose population. *Wildlife Monographs* No. 166.
- Mytton, W. R., and L. B. Keith. 1981. Dynamics of moose populations near Rochester, Alberta, 1975-1978. *Canadian Field Naturalist* 95:39-49.
- Patterson, B. R., J. F. Benson, K. Middel, K. J. Mills, and M. E. Obbard. 2013. Moose calf mortality in central Ontario, Canada. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 77:832-844.
- Pinheiro, J. C. and D. M. Bates. 2000. *Mixed-effects models in S and Splus*. Springer, New York.
- Renecker, L. A., and R. J. Hudson. 1986. Seasonal energy expenditure and thermoregulatory response of moose. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 64:322-327.
- Renecker, L. A., and R. J. Hudson. 1990. Behavioral and thermoregulatory responses of moose to high ambient temperatures and insect harassment in aspen dominated forests. *Alces* 26:66-72.
- Schwartz, C. C. 2007. Reproduction, natality, and growth. Pages 141-171 *in* A. W. Franzmann and C. C. Schwartz, editors. *Ecology and management of North American moose*. Second edition, University Press of Colorado, Boulder, USA.
- Sergeant, D. W., and D. H. Pimlott. 1959. Age determination in moose from sectioned incisor teeth. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 23:315-321.
- Severud, W. J., G. D. DelGiudice, and R. G. Wright. 2013. Evaluating the use of GPS collars to determine moose calving and calf mortalities in northeastern Minnesota. Pages 52-62 *in* L. Cornicelli, M. Carstensen, M. D. Grund, M. A. Larson, and J. S. Lawrence, editors. *Summaries of wildlife research findings, 2012*. Wildlife Populations and Research Unit, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources, St. Paul, USA.
- Signer, C., T. Ruf, F. Schober, G. Fluch, T. Paumann, and W. Arnold. 2010. A versatile telemetry system for continuous measurement of heart rate, body temperature and locomotor activity in free-ranging ruminants. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* doi: 10.1111/j.2041-210X.2009.00010.x.

- Stenhouse, G. B., P. B. Latour, L. Kutny, N. MacLean, and G. Glover. 1995. Productivity, survival, and movements of female moose in a low density population, Northwest Territories, Canada. *Arctic* 48:57-62.
- Stephenson, T. R., K. J. Hundertmark, C. C. Schwartz, and V. Van Ballenberghe. 1998. Predicting fat and body mass in moose with ultrasonography. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 76:717-722,
- Union of Concerned Scientists. 2003. Confronting climate change in the Great Lakes regions: impacts on our communities and ecosystems. <http://www.ucusa.org/greatlakes/glchallengereport.html>.




Figure 1. Schematic of potential relationships and interactions of variables which may influence fitness (survival and reproductive success) of adult moose (females and males).




Figure 2. Study area for the 2014-2017 ENRT (LCCMR) study examining the potential effect of warming air temperatures on the moose decline in northeastern Minnesota.