LCCMR Member 2025 RFP Feedback Survey – Results

Several changes to the 2025 RFP were suggested during the LCCMR meeting on November 16, 2023. LCCMR staff put together a survey to generate feedback on the proposed changes to the RFP, and below are the results of that survey. LCCMR staff also provided a <u>draft of the 2025 RFP</u> that includes changes that were suggested in the meeting.

Number of Members Responding: 13 (5 Citizen Members, 4 House Members, 4 Senate Members)

1) Do you support **adding** "PFAS and microplastics" and **deleting** "PAHs" as shown under Water Resources (Category B, subsection 2) on page 3 of the draft RFP?

```
Yes – 12
No – 1
```

Result: the change is supported

2) Do you support deleting "Proposals to develop new curriculum will not be considered" as shown under Environmental Education (Category C, subsection 2) on page 4 of the draft RFP?

```
Yes – 4
No – 8
Other – 1
```

 a. I would support modifying the language to support certain exceptions such as "except to allow new modules within existing curriculum or updating curriculum to reflect current state of knowledge / art"

Result: inconclusive

3) Do you support **adding** "Establishment of ecosystem service markets" as a new subsection as shown under Methods to Protect or Restore Land, Water, and Habitat (Category F, subsection 5) on page 5 of the draft RFP?

```
Yes – 5
No – 8
```

Result: inconclusive

4) Do you support **adding** "or the potential for high-quality" and "or the greatest potential capacity" as shown under Methods to Protect or Restore Land, Water, and Habitat (Category F, subsection 1) and under Land Acquisition, Habitat, and Recreation (Category G, subsections 2 and 3) on page 5 of the draft RFP?

```
Yes - 10
```

No - 3

Result: the change is supported

5) Do you support **adding** "wildlife, including," "wildlife habitat, including," and "wildlife diversity, including" as shown under Methods to Protect or Restore Land, Water, and Habitat (Category F, subsections 1 and 3) and Land Acquisition, Habitat, and Recreation (Category G, subsection 2) on page 5 of the draft RFP?

```
Yes - 11
```

No - 2

Result: the change is supported

6) Do you support **adding** "Native Prairie Bank" as shown under Land Acquisition, Habitat, and Recreation (Category G, subsection 5) on page 5 of the draft RFP?

```
Yes - 11
```

No-2

Result: the change is supported

7) Do you support **replacing** \$200,000 with \$250,000 as shown under Small Projects (Category H) on page 5 of the draft RFP?

No-1

Result: the change is supported

8) Do you support **adding** the following statement to the Additional Requirements for Capital Construction Projects section as shown on page 6 of the draft RFP?

"Please be aware that if the Commission determines that readiness is not sufficiently demonstrated, it may recommend funding only the planning, pre-design, or design portion of a proposal. Applicants may reapply for subsequent phases."

No-1

Result: the change is supported