Appendix A: ENRTF Strategic Planning Stakeholder Input Process Visual

ENRTF Strategic Planning – Stakeholder Input Process

LCCMR Member Phone Interviews
7/8 – 7/18
Summary report identifying areas of consensus and disagreement on broad questions related to member priorities and ENRTF

Document Scan
4/21 – 5/31
Mandated summary of strategic plans of agencies with environment and natural resource programs and responsibilities, and plans of conservation and environmental organizations, identifying issues, goals, and strategies

Issues Identification Panels
7/31 – 8/7
Prioritization of goals in designated areas. Initial identification of strategies that are a good match for ENRTF

Subject Matter Expert Survey
9/9 - 10/11
Subject Matter Experts
Further prioritization of goals in designated areas. Identification of short- and long-term strategies that are a good match for ENRTF.

Site Visit: Lunch Discussions
9/11-12, 10/15-16
Subject Matter Experts
Further prioritization of goals in designated areas. Identification of short- and long-term strategies that are a good match for ENRTF.

Site Visit: Morning + Evening
9/11-12, 10/15-16
General Public
Identification of themes regarding concerns for environment and natural resources and possible solutions.

General Survey
9/9-10/28
General Public & Other Stakeholders
Identification of general concerns for Minnesota's environment and natural resources and high-level solutions.

Prioritization Panel
10/23
Focusing on prioritized goals, vet and prioritize long- and short-term strategies and generate realistic recommendations for measuring outcomes.

LCCMR Member Summary *To be received in early November*
- What did LCCMR Members say are the biggest priorities and possible solutions?
- What did experts (people doing on-the-ground research and work on issues related to the environment and natural resources) recommend as goals in the areas of water, land, recreation, air, energy, fish and wildlife? Which goal in each area is the highest priority? What strategies for reaching prioritized goal in those areas should have highest priority given ENRTF's constitutional charge? How did they recommend that progress toward prioritized goals be measured?
- What did non-experts/the general public say are the biggest threats to Minnesota's environment and natural resources? What did they recommend should be done to address those threats?
- Where are areas of consensus? Where do non-experts agree, or disagree, with the experts?

LCCMR Strategic Planning Meeting – Nov. 13

With this information, Members identify 2020-2026:
- Priority areas
- Areas of emphasis for funding
- Short- and long-term goals and strategies

LCCMR Strategic Planning Meeting – Dec. 12
Final Sign-off

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS AND DEVELOPMENT