Proposals identifed for member discussion related to fit within constitutional, statutory, and RFP/Strategic Plan guidelines

Constitution.

For staff review purposes, "other natural resources" were considered to be "the outdoor recreation system under section 86A.04 and regional recreation open space systems as defined under section 473.351, subdivision 1." (per. 116P.02 Definitions Subd. 5 Natural Resources) AND trails.

| EN | IRTF ID# | Title | Organization | Last Name | First Name | Final Category | Final Sub Category | \$ Amount Requested | Questions regarding fit per Constitution, 116P, funding priority exceptions in RFP p. 3-5 |
|----------|----------|---|---|-----------|------------|--|--|------------------------|--|
| 04: L | | Dangerous Current Prediction on Lake Superior Minnesota Coast | U of MN | Shen | | A. Foundational Natural Resource Data and Information | | \$ 299,982 | Connection to constitution? "For activities that protect, conserve, preserve, and enhance Minnesota's air, water, land, fish, wildlife, and other natural resources" |
| 068 | | Mapping | Rock County Land Management Office/SWCD | Hartman | | seeking \$200,000 or less in funding | A. Foundational Natural Resource Data and Information | \$ 120,000 | Connection to constitution? "For activities that protect, conserve, preserve, and enhance Minnesota's air, water, land, fish, wildlife, and other natural resources" |

| | Statute 116P.03 Trust Fund not to supplant existing funding; appropriations | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------|--------|--------|--|-----------------|--|--------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 0 | MN DNR | Hopper | | G. Land | | \$ 2,565,167 | - Supplement/supplant? | | | | | |
| 2 | | Environments at Minnesota | | | | Acquisition for | | | | | | | | |
| ٦ | | State Parks | | | | Habitat and | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Recreation | | | | | | | | |

| | For staff re the fundin 110-B | 6P.08 Subdivision 1, Sec. 5 eview, "capital projects" fo g request. "Capital projec Increased Sample Capacity for Analysis of Pesticides | or statutory purposes | were consid | dered as: ac | cquisition, pre-de | sign, design, and | or co | 736,079 | on AND proposals for which the capital costs represented the majority of -This appears to be a capital project in conflict with 116P.08 Subd. 1. Expenditures, which states: Money in the trust fund may be spent only for:(5) capital projects for the preservation and protection of unique natural resources; |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---|-------------------------------|--------|---------|---|
| 5 | | Connecting Tribal Communities to Sustainable Energy Pathways | Windustry | Daniels | Lisa | C. Environmental Education | | \$ 2,: | | -This appears to be a capital project in conflict with 116P.08 Subd. 1. Expenditures, which states: Money in the trust fund may be spent only for:(5) capital projects for the preservation and protection of unique natural resources; -RFP p. 4, C. Environmental Education states "funding for capital projects (e.g. buildings or building infrastructure) will not be considered in this category." |
| 6 | | Camp Katharine Parsons Conservation and Environmental Education Initiative | Phyllis Wheatley Community Center | Matemba- Mutasa | Gertrude | H. Proposals seeking \$200,000 or less in funding | C. Environmental Education | \$ | - | -RFP p. 4, C. Environmental Education states "funding for capital projects (e.g. buildings or building infrastructure) will not be considered in this category." |
| 7 | | The Longspur Prairie Fund Urban Prairie Learning Lab | The Longspur Prairie Fund | Schultz | Peter | H. Proposals seeking \$200,000 or less in funding | C. Environmental Education | \$ | - | -RFP p. 4, C. Environmental Education states "funding for capital projects (e.g. buildings or building infrastructure) will not be considered in this category." |

| C | apital pro | jects (continued) | | | | | | | |
|----|------------|--|---|------------|------------|---|---|------------------------|--|
| E | NRTF ID # | Title | Organization | Last Name | First Name | Final Category | Final Sub Category | \$ Amount Requested | Questions regarding fit per Constitution, 116P, funding priority exceptions in RFP p. 3-5 |
| 8 | | Living Laboratory for Community Education of Solar Energy | U of MN | Mohan | Ned | H. Proposals seeking \$200,000 or less in funding | C. Environmental Education | \$ 191,100 | -RFP p. 4, C. Environmental Education states "funding for capital projects (e.g. buildings or building infrastructure) will not be considered in this category." |
| 9 | | Storing Renewable Energy in Flow-Battery for Grid Use | U of MN - Morris | Herrmann | Bryan | E. Air Quality, Climate Change, and Renewable Energy | | \$ 3,271,229 | -This appears to be a capital project in conflict with 116P.08 Subd. 1. Expenditures, which states: Money in the trust fund may be spent only for:(5) capital projects for the preservation and protection of unique natural resources; -RFP p. 4, E. Air Quality, Climate Change, and Renewable Energy states "funding for capital projects (e.g. buildings or building infrastructure) will not be considered in this category." |
| 10 | | Minnesota Shrimp Production Using Clean Energy | U of MN | Gardner | Robert | E. Air Quality, Climate Change, and Renewable Energy | | \$ 1,129,000 | -RFP p. 4, E. Air Quality, Climate Change, and Renewable Energy states "funding for capital projects (e.g. buildings or building infrastructure) will not be considered in this category." |
| 11 | | | Northwest Renewable Energy LLC | Thibert | Jill | H. Proposals seeking \$200,000 or less in funding | E. Air Quality, Climate Change, and Renewable Energy | \$ 198,500 | -This appears to be a capital project in conflict with 116P.08 Subd. 1. Expenditures, which states: Money in the trust fund may be spent only for:(5) capital projects for the preservation and protection of unique natural resources; '-RFP p. 4, E. Air Quality, Climate Change, and Renewable Energy states "funding for capital projects (e.g. buildings or building infrastructure) will not be considered in this category." |
| 12 | | Birch Lake Recreation Area Campground | City of Babbitt | Bissonette | Cathy | G. Land Acquisition for Habitat and Recreation | | \$ 350,000 | -This appears to be a capital project in conflict with 116P.08 Subd. 1. Expenditures, which states: Money in the trust fund may be spent only for:(5) capital projects for the preservation and protection of unique natural resources; |
| 13 | | Silver Bay Multi-Modal Trailhead Center | City of Silver Bay | Fralich | Lana | G. Land Acquisition for Habitat and Recreation | | \$ 1,400,000 | -This appears to be a capital project in conflict with 116P.08 Subd. 1. Expenditures, which states: Money in the trust fund may be spent only for:(5) capital projects for the preservation and protection of unique natural resources; |
| 14 | | Ranier Safe Harbor/Transient Dock on Rainy Lake | City of Ranier | Gautreaux | Sherril | G. Land Acquisition for Habitat and Recreation | | \$ 762,650 | -This appears to be a capital project in conflict with 116P.08 Subd. 1. Expenditures, which states: Money in the trust fund may be spent only for:(5) capital projects for the preservation and protection of unique natural resources; |
| 15 | | Crane Lake Voygeurs National Park Campground & Visitors Center | Town of Crane Lake | Janssen | Jim | G. Land Acquisition for Habitat and Recreation | | \$ 3,600,000 | -This appears to be a capital project in conflict with 116P.08 Subd. 1. Expenditures, which states: Money in the trust fund may be spent only for:(5) capital projects for the preservation and protection of unique natural resources; |
| 16 | | | Minnesota Forest Zone Trappers Association | Sogard | Ray | H. Proposals seeking \$200,000 or less in funding | G. Land Acquisition for Habitat and Recreation | \$ 85,000 | "-This appears to be a capital project in conflict with 116P.08 Subd. 1. Expenditures, which states: Money in the trust fund may be spent only for:(5) capital projects for the preservation and protection of unique natural resources;" |

| | Statute 116P.08 Subd. 2 Exceptions | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|----------------|--------------------|----|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| ĺ | | | | | | | | \$ | Amount | Questions regarding fit per Constitution, 116P, funding priority | | | | |
| | ENRTF ID # | Title | Organization | Last Name | First Name | Final Category | Final Sub Category | Re | equested | exceptions in RFP p. 3-5 | | | | |
| ſ | 114-B | Innovative Solution for | Dem-Con | Keegan | Bill | B. Water | | \$ | 750,000 | -This appears to conflict with 116P.08 Subd. 2. Exceptions, which states: "Money in | | | | |
| 17 | | Protecting Minnesota from | | | | Resources | | | | the trust fund may NOT be spent for:(5) solid waste disposal facilities" | | | | |
| | | PFAS contamination | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Statute 1 | Statute 116P.18 Lands in Public Domain | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|------------------------------|--------|---------|---|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 274-G | Acquire Riverfront Land at Upper St. Anthony Falls | Friends of the Lock & Dam | Monson | Kjersti | G. Land Acquisition for Habitat and Recreation | \$ | | -per 116P.18 Money appropriated from the trust fund must not be used to purchase any land in fee title or a permanent conservation easement if the land in question is fully or partially owned by the state or a political subdivision of the state or was acquired fully or partially with state money, unless: (1) the purchase creates additional direct benefit to the protection, conservation, preservation, and enhancement of the state's air, water, land, fish, wildlife, and other natural resources; and (2) the purchase is approved, prior to the acquisition, by an affirmative vote of at least 12 members of the commission. | | | | | | |

| | Request for Proposal (RFP) provisions: invasive species | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|---|--|----------|--|---|---|-------|--|---|--|--|
| 19 | | Tactical Invasive Plant Management Plan Development and Implementation | Minnesota Department of Agriculture | Chandler | | D. Aquatic and Terrestrial Invasive Species | | \$ 65 | | -RFP p. 4, D. Aquatic and Terrestrial Invasive Species states "standard control, removal, and maintenance activities of invasive species will not be considered." -TIS- A portion of this project (part of Activity 1) would be eligible for consideration under MITPPC. | | |
| 20 | | • | Koochiching Soil & Water Conservation District | Olson | | | D. Aquatic and Terrestrial Invasive Species | \$ 19 | | -RFP p. 4, D. Aquatic and Terrestrial Invasive Species states "standard control, removal, and maintenance activities of invasive species will not be considered." -AIS- Not research so not eligible for MAISRC. | | |

- Statute 116P.08 Subdivision 1, Sec. 5 (capital projects): Staff considered these as acquisition, pre-design, design, and/or construction AND proposals for which the capital costs represented the majority of the funding request. Staff called out potential issues in column N, "Questions regarding fit per constitution, 116P, funding priority exceptions in RFP", as well as in the separate document, "List of proposals for discussion regarding fit." Staff sense is that members could make a case either way on whether or not all or some of these projects should be eligible. Staff suggestion is that commission members make those decisions rather than staff.
- 2. RFP priorities C. Environmental Education & E. Air Quality, Climate Change, and Renewable Energy p. 4 specifically state "Funding for capital projects (e.g. buildings or b not be considered in this category." For this purpose, staff considered capital projects as buildings and building infrastructure. Staff called these out on the member evaluation and selection form in column N, "Questions regarding fit per constitution, 116P, funding priority exceptions in RFP" of the members evaluation and selection form as well as in the separate document, "List of proposals for discussion regarding fit." Again, staff suspects members could make a case either way for all or some of these projects being eligible. Staff preference again is that members make those decisions rather than staff.
- 3. Guidance on allowable costs- see RFP p. 7 Eligible expenses F. "Capital expenditures for facilities, equipment, and other capital assets as expressly approved" For this purpose, staff merely listed the capital expenses/assets/etc in the member evaluation and selection form in column O, "Generally ineligible costs....costs requiring specific approval..." for member consideration and ultimately for member express approval if the project is selected.

Agenda Item: 09