Legislative Water Commission Summary of Wastewater Issues, Solutions and Priorities

(as modified on 11/21/17)

What municipal wastewater problems need solving?

#1 Find solutions to fund aging wastewater infrastructure upgrades and control rising costs.

Key: issues ranked highest at the October meeting parked solutions raised at the October meeting # = "dot-mocracy" rank from the November meeting

SHORT TERM OPTIONS	(meet immediate needs)

# =	= "dot-mocracy" rank from the November meeting	
* =	top 8 priorities	
ORT TERM OPTION	S (meet immediate needs)	
compliance tools	(tech assistance, variances, compliance schedules, fee waivers, optimization, with equity for businesses)	
	velop statewide prescription drug takeback program	5
	naintenance (cost increases with technical complexity, I/I, flusahble wipes)	
	pyide I/I funding for public and private lines	10
	cility optimization (LCCMR pilot project proposed)	1
	nd research to improve operations	0
	ange flushable labels on personal care wipes	8
	ucate consumers (drug disposal, wipes disposal, softener operations/salt use); watershed-wide P2 education	1
		9
	entify opportunities for regional cooperation for administration and O & M	
	dustrial pretreatment/source reduction	4
skilled workforce	e (recruitment incentives, competetive salary, ongoing training, pooled staff); tied to O & M	
do	using appropriate weekforce recognishers and industry loaders	2
de	velop operator workforce, researchers, and industry leaders	3
cre	eate pool of O & M expertise	1
0.50	note student lean ferminance magness	0
Cre	eate student loan forgiveness program	0
ch	ange licensing requirements to allow for contracted/shared private sector services	0
funding (unsewe	ered communities, towns under 1000, towns over 1000)	
ba	lanced PFA loan/grant funding	4
CO	ntinue/increase PFA loan/grant funding (@ lest \$121M/biennium)	8
	ild USTDA best value procurement recs into grant/loan processes	0
	ange funding criteria - tied to O & M	0
	pport asset management and long-term/capital planning using life cycle costs	1
	d a new funding source (such as the Chesapeake Bay model)	8
	velop public-private partnerships	0
	omote use of design-build options	0
	sive the prevailing wage in rural communities	5
	Iding tanks for unsewered communities eligible for funding	3
	opriateness of stds, C:B assessments, peer review, cumulative effects, individual vs watershed approach)	
	stitutionalize guidance/commissioner memos into statute (peer review, variance fee waivers, etc.)	0
	entify opportunities for regionalization of facilities	4
mo	onitor federal actions	0
TERM OPTIONS (†	foundation already in place)	
availability of tra	ading processes/partners (PS and/or NPS)	
alle	ow for credit swaps	4
pil	ot a watershed-scale program (follow Oregon model?) and involve ag in the planning	17
	opriateness of stds, C:B assessments, peer review, cumulative effects, individual vs watershed approach)	ı .
	dependent, quantified cost-benefits analysis & peer review of standards	19
	velop better estimates of regulator costs	2
		0
	velop guidance on C:B rations for PS vs NPS treatment shares	
	t thresholds for cost of upgrades	1
	llective effect of permits on water quality at regional/watershed scale	1
	eate appropriate and predictable standards consistent with border states	1
str	eamline the regulatory process	7
G TERM OPTIONS		
	r management planning (avoid shifting the burden from wastewater to water supply); reuse	
integrated water	r management planning (avoid shifting the burden from wastewater to water supply); reuse ite a MN 5-15 year integrated water plan with overarching principles (e.g., collaboration, meet local values)	5
integrated water	1177	5
integrated water wr fin	ite a MN 5-15 year integrated water plan with overarching principles (e.g., collaboration, meet local values) ancial incentives for resource recovery (energy, nutrients, water)	1
integrated water wr fin cre	ite a MN 5-15 year integrated water plan with overarching principles (e.g., collaboration, meet local values) ancial incentives for resource recovery (energy, nutrients, water) eate centers of excellence for integrated water management across the state	
integrated water wr fin cre ed	ite a MN 5-15 year integrated water plan with overarching principles (e.g., collaboration, meet local values) ancial incentives for resource recovery (energy, nutrients, water) eate centers of excellence for integrated water management across the state ucate consumers (conservation, reuse)	1 3
integrated water wr fin cre ed affordability/ava	ite a MN 5-15 year integrated water plan with overarching principles (e.g., collaboration, meet local values) ancial incentives for resource recovery (energy, nutrients, water) eate centers of excellence for integrated water management across the state ucate consumers (conservation, reuse) allability of technologies (existing, emerging, innovated, combined, hybrid, diverse)	1 3 0
wr fin cre ed affordability/ava ba	ite a MN 5-15 year integrated water plan with overarching principles (e.g., collaboration, meet local values) ancial incentives for resource recovery (energy, nutrients, water) eate centers of excellence for integrated water management across the state ucate consumers (conservation, reuse)	1 3